# Tibble, et al. v. Edison International, et al., No. 2:07-cv-05359-SVW-AGR (C.D. Cal.)

### Frequently Asked Questions

### What is this lawsuit about?

*Tibble v. Edison International* is a class action that was brought on behalf of the Edison 401(k) Savings Plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, or ERISA. The litigation has been resolved, and judgment has been entered. Some claims were resolved in Edison's favor, while others were resolved in favor of the class.

On October 25, 2018, the court entered judgment in the action in the amount of \$13,161,491. The court ordered that the judgment amount be allocated and paid to Plan participants or beneficiaries who invested in one or more of seventeen mutual funds during the time period relevant to the litigation.

For more information on the litigation, you may access publicly available information on the Central District of California court's website, which is located at <u>https://www.cacd.uscourts.qov/</u>. The name of the case is *Tibble v. Edison International*, and the case number is CV 07-5359 SVW (AGRx).

### What were the mutual funds at issue in the litigation, and what were the applicable time periods?

The seventeen mutual funds and time periods at issue in the *Tibble* litigation and the time period relevant to the litigation are:

- PIMCO CCM Capital Appreciation Fund (later named the Allianz CCM Capital Appreciation Fund and the Managers Cadence Capital Appreciation Fund) August 16, 2001 to January 31, 2011;
- American Century International Growth Fund August 16, 2001 to September 30, 2005;
- American Funds American Balanced Fund May 15, 2002 to January 31, 2011;
- American Funds Europacific Growth Fund May 15, 2002 to January 31, 2011;
- American Funds—Washington Mutual Investors May 15, 2002 to January 31, 2011;
- Dreyfus Founders Growth Fund January 1, 2002 to June 30, 2002;
- Kemper-Dreman High Return Equity Fund (later named the Scudder-Dreman High Return Equity Fund and DWS Dreman High Return Equity Fund and the DWS Strategic Value Fund) August 16, 2001 to October 15, 2009;
- Franklin Small Cap Growth Fund (later named the Franklin Small-Mid Cap Growth Fund) August 16, 2001 to September 28, 2007;
- Berger Small Cap Value Fund (later named the Janus Small Cap Value Fund, Investor shares) -August 16, 2001 to September 28, 2007;
- Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Institutional Equity Growth Fund, B shares (later named the Morgan Stanley Institutional Equity Growth Fund) - August 16, 2001 to October 1, 2003;
- MAS Value Fund, Advisor shares (later named the Morgan Stanley Institutional Value Fund, Advisor shares) - August 16, 2001 to October 1, 2003;
- PIMCO Long-Term U.S. Government Fund August 16, 2001 to January 31, 2011;
- PIMCO Low Duration Fund August 16, 2001 to January 31, 2011;
- PIMCO Total Return Fund August 16, 2001 to January 31, 2011;
- Putnam International Growth Fund (later named the Putnam International Equity Fund) August 16, 2001 to December 18, 2003;

- Putnam Voyager Fund August 16, 2001 to September 15, 2004; and
- Templeton Developing Markets Fund August 16, 2001 to September 15, 2004.

For more information, you may access publicly available information on the Central District of California court's website, which is located at <u>https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/</u>. The name of the case is *Tibble v. Edison International*, and the case number is CV 07-5359 SVW (AGRx). Epiq cannot give you legal advice.

# Do I have to take any action to receive a restorative payment?

No. If you are entitled to a restorative payment, you do not need to do anything to receive your payment. If you still have a Plan account, your payment will be made directly into your account. If you no longer have an account with the Plan, a check will be mailed to you.

# How are restorative payments calculated?

The restorative payments have been calculated in accordance with court and U.S. Department of Labor guidance to compensate the Plan accounts of eligible participants and beneficiaries for the impact of investing in one or more of seventeen mutual funds during the time periods relevant to the litigation. The calculation takes into consideration the amount and length of time your Plan account was invested in the seventeen mutual funds at issue.

The Plan of Allocation, which provides additional detail, was filed with the Court and is available at <u>www.TibbleJudqment.com</u>. For more information, you may access publicly available information on the Central District of California court's website, which is located at <u>https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/</u>. The name of the case is *Tibble v. Edison International*, and the case number is CV 07-5359 SVW (AGRx). Epiq cannot give you legal advice.

### Will everyone who invested in the funds at issue get a restorative payment?

No. If your Plan account was not invested in any of the seventeen mutual funds at issue in the litigation during the time periods that are relevant to the litigation, then you will not receive a restorative payment. And if your Plan account was invested in any of the seventeen mutual funds at issue during the relevant time periods, but you are a former participant whose investments would otherwise have resulted in a restorative payment amount that was less than \$20.00 under the plan of allocation, then you will not receive a payment.

The Plan of Allocation, which provides additional detail, was filed with the Court and is available at <u>www.TibbleJudgment.com</u>. For more information, you may access publicly available information on the Central District of California court's website, which is located at <u>https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/</u>. The name of the case is *Tibble v. Edison International*, and the case number is CV 07-5359 SVW (AGRx). Epiq cannot give you legal advice.