

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
Quicksilver Resources Inc., <u>et al.</u> , ¹)	Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	
)	Bid Proc. Hearing: 10/6/15 at 10:00 a.m. (ET)
)	Bid Proc. Obj. Deadline: 9/29/15 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)
)	

DEBTORS’ MOTION FOR (I) AN ORDER ESTABLISHING BIDDING PROCEDURES AND GRANTING RELATED RELIEF AND (II) AN ORDER OR ORDERS APPROVING THE SALE OF THE ASSETS

The debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “Debtors”) seek entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Bidding Procedures Order”), (i) approving the proposed bidding procedures attached hereto as **Exhibit B** (the “Bidding Procedures”) by which the Debtors will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the “Assets”) through one or more sales of the Assets (each, a “Sale Transaction” or “Sale”); (ii) establishing procedures for the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases, including notice of proposed cure amounts (the “Assumption and Assignment Procedures”); (iii) approving the form and manner of notice with respect to certain procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described herein and attached hereto;

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. (“Quicksilver”) [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors’ address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

(iv) scheduling (a) a hearing (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”), on expedited notice, to approve the Debtors’ selection of one or more stalking horse bidders (each a “Stalking Horse Bidder”), if any, and the provision of Bid Protections (as defined below) to such Stalking Horse Bidder, if necessary; (b) an auction (the “Auction”) if the Debtors receive two or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids (as defined below); and (c) a final hearing (the “Sale Hearing”) to approve one or more Sales of the Assets; and (v) granting related relief. The Debtors further request that, at the Sale Hearing, this Court enter an order or orders (each, a “Sale Order”), which will be filed before the Sale Hearing, (i) authorizing the sale of the Assets free and clear of liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances (collectively, the “Interests”); (ii) authorizing the assumption and assignment of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases; and (iii) granting related relief. In support of this motion, the Debtors respectfully state as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. By this motion, the Debtors seek authority to commence a marketing and sale process to sell substantially all or a portion of their Assets. Since the outset of these cases, the Debtors have sought—and continue to seek—the path that maximizes the value of the Debtors’ estates for their creditors. That quest must be balanced against the legal parameters of the Bankruptcy Code and the facts of these chapter 11 cases. The Debtors have pursued various restructuring alternatives both before and subsequent to the commencement of these cases and believe that launching a sale process that is designed to maximize purchasers’ participation in the Sale while maintaining maximum optionality for the Debtors and their stakeholders is both a valid exercise of the Debtors’ business judgment and consistent with their fiduciary obligations to their stakeholders.

2. As discussed at the hearing before this Court on July 7, and fully set forth in the Debtors’ *Motion for Entry of an Order Extending the Exclusive Periods During which Only the*

Debtors May File a Chapter 11 Plan and Solicit Acceptances Thereof,² the Debtors spent the first 100 days of these cases laying the groundwork to address their numerous balance sheet and operational challenges and achieve a value-maximizing exit from bankruptcy. At that hearing, the Debtors indicated that they needed to complete several steps integral to establishing such an exit: namely, refining their business plan and furthering negotiations with their stakeholders and key contract counterparties. Although the Debtors have completed each of these steps, despite their best efforts, the Debtors have not yet obtained sufficient support for a chapter 11 plan that the Debtors believe is confirmable.

3. As a result, and cognizant of their current monthly cash outlay, which the Debtors estimate will average approximately \$8 million per month from the Petition Date through November 30 (the “Monthly Cash Outlay”), continued use of cash collateral, the continuing depletion of their assets through normal operations, and their fiduciary obligation to maximize distributable value for all creditors, the Debtors now seek to launch a sale process that (i) is open to all potential bidders, including third parties and current holders in the Debtors’ capital structure; (ii) protects the best interests of the Debtors’ estates and creditors; and (iii) preserves the Debtors’ right to exercise their fiduciary duties should a value-maximizing alternative materialize, including a plan of reorganization. This approach is grounded in the Debtors’ belief that conducting a fair and robust auction at this time is the most viable alternative to maximize the distributable value of their assets and elicit valuable market feedback for their stakeholders. Additionally, the Debtors’ secured creditors are supportive of the sale process. For all of the foregoing reasons and those set forth herein, the Debtors believe that launching a marketing and

² See D.I. 430.

sale process pursuant to the Bidding Procedures is a valid exercise of their business judgment, is in the best interests of their estates and creditors, and should be approved.

JURISDICTION

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).³

5. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

6. The predicates for the relief requested herein are sections 105, 363, and 365 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”); rules 2002, 6004, and 6006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”); and Local Rules 2002-1 and 6004-1.

BACKGROUND

A. General Background

7. On March 17, 2015 (the “Petition Date”), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in this Court. The Debtors continue to operate their business and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a) and 1108. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015 and Local Rule 1015-1. No request for the appointment of a trustee or examiner has been made in these chapter 11 cases. On March 25, 2015, the Acting United States Trustee, Region 3

³ Under rule 9013-1(f) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Local Rules”), the Debtors hereby confirm their consent to the entry of a final order by this Court in connection with this motion if it is later determined that this Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection therewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

(the “U.S. Trustee”) appointed the statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the “Committee”) [D.I. 119].

8. A description of the Debtors and their business is set forth in greater detail in the *Declaration of Vanessa Gomez LaGatta in Support of First Day Pleadings* [D.I. 19] (the “First Day Declaration”) filed on the Petition Date and is incorporated herein by reference.

B. Specific Background

i. The Debtors’ Pre-Petition Marketing and Sales Efforts

9. The Debtors commenced these cases, in part, to continue their exploration of restructuring alternatives to address the myriad challenges facing the Debtors. As this Court is aware, these chapter 11 cases involve fourteen Debtors and \$2 billion of consolidated, pre-petition funded debt spread among secured, unsecured, and subordinated debt issuances. Both before and since the Petition Date, Quicksilver and its direct and indirect subsidiaries (the “Company”) have thus explored various strategic alternatives to address balance sheet issues and fiscal pressures exacerbated by unpredictable commodity prices. Notably, in late September 2014, the Company launched a strategic alternatives process led by Houlihan Lokey Capital, Inc. (“Houlihan”) to, among other things, sell all of the Assets as well as the assets of Quicksilver’s non-Debtor Canadian subsidiaries (collectively, the “Canadian Assets”).⁴

10. The pre-petition strategic alternatives process covered a broad range of potential buyers, in part due to the diversity of the assets owned by the Company. For example, to sell the Horn River Basin asset in British Columbia—where there exists an export opportunity for certain types of liquefied natural gas—the Company solicited potential buyers in Asia. Additionally, the pre-petition marketing process was flexible with regard to the structure and timing of transaction

⁴ The Debtors intend to launch a parallel sale process for the sale of the Canadian Assets contemporaneously with the domestic sale process proposed herein.

proposals to encourage the broadest participation at that time. Bids in connection with this marketing process were initially due by December 2014, but this deadline was extended to late January. Notwithstanding this extension, no viable option emerged for asset sales or other strategic alternatives likely to have a material impact on the Company's capital structure and liquidity. As a result, the Debtors commenced these chapter 11 cases in March.

ii. The Debtors' Post-Petition Efforts

11. Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have undertaken significant efforts to stabilize their operations, satisfy applicable reporting requirements, and lay the foundation for a value-maximizing exit from chapter 11. Specifically, the Debtors (i) have rejected burdensome contracts;⁵ (ii) re-negotiated certain other contracts to accommodate current conditions in the oil and gas market; (iii) clarified their outstanding liabilities following the deadline for filing general proofs of claim; (iv) received authority to use cash collateral on a final basis; (v) implemented a process for tracking post-petition revenue and producing corresponding reports for various stakeholders; (vi) worked with their secured lenders to extend the forbearance period on certain obligations (to at least delay the filing of a companion proceeding under the Companies Creditors' Arrangement Act and maintain optionality with respect to the Canadian Assets); (vii) met with key stakeholders, including the Committee and the Debtors' secured creditors, to address the allocation of certain non-direct expenses and other topics; (viii) extensively cooperated with the Committee's advisors to assist in their complex lien review regarding certain oil and gas assets; and (ix) traced cash collateral and the allocation of well expenses.⁶

12. While these undertakings represent significant achievements in and of themselves, their import is also in forming the predicate for exit strategy negotiations. To that end, the

⁵ See D.I. 213 and D.I. 334.

⁶ See D.I. 417.

Debtors provided a refined business plan to the Committee and secured creditors and, in June, July, and August, held meetings with these creditors to measure parties' support for a consensual plan of reorganization. Recognizing the uncertainty of achieving this result, particularly in view of unpredictable commodity prices and certain issues relating to the Debtors' unencumbered assets and the allocation of expenses among encumbered and unencumbered assets, the Debtors simultaneously refreshed the data from their pre-petition marketing process to prepare for a potential sale. This dual-track contingency planning has proven to be prudent. Indeed, notwithstanding the Debtors' efforts, the Debtors do not believe that sufficient support for a confirmable plan of reorganization exists at this time. This fact, compounded by the Debtors' Monthly Cash Outlay⁷ and continued use of cash collateral as well as the depletion of the Debtors' assets through normal operations, has led the Debtors to determine that they can no longer delay in commencing a process to advance these cases toward an exit. As a result, the Debtors have determined to launch a process to sell their Assets and, on a parallel path, pursue a potential sale of the Canadian Assets. This approach represents a sound exercise of the Debtors' business judgment, is consistent with their fiduciary obligation to maximize the recoverable value of their estates, and is in the best interest of the Debtors' estates and creditors.

iii. The Proposed Sale

13. The Debtors, in consultation with their advisors, believe that pursuing a Sale Transaction at this time without a Stalking Horse Bidder is the course of action most likely to maximize value and encourage robust bidder participation.⁸ Indeed, this approach facilitates the expedited launch of the sale process without the delay attendant to first negotiating a stalking

⁷ This cash outlay is as a result of, among other things, capital deployment, adequate protection payments and professional fees attendant to these chapter 11 cases.

⁸ As discussed below, the Debtors reserve their right to select a Stalking Horse Bidder or Stalking Horse Bidders under the appropriate circumstances in accordance with the Bidding Procedures.

horse agreement, provides flexibility for the sale of all or a portion of the Assets, delivers market feedback that may incentivize certain creditor constituencies to work toward a consensual resolution of these chapter 11 cases, and advances negotiations regarding the same.

14. Accordingly, by this motion, the Debtors seek authority to implement the Bidding Procedures outlined herein so as to efficiently market and solicit offers for the Assets. The Debtors believe that the relief requested herein will bolster the prospects of a value-maximizing exit from chapter 11.

C. The Proposed Bidding, Notice, and Assumption and Assignment Procedures

i. Proposed Timeline for the Sale

15. The Debtors propose the following timeline for the Sale:⁹

<u>Deadline</u>	<u>Action</u>
November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Bid Deadline
December 2, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Sale Objection Deadline (defined below)
December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time)	Auction
December 11, 2015 at 12:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Reply Deadline (defined below)
December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Sale Hearing

The Debtors submit that this proposed timeline is eminently reasonable, will foster robust participation in the sale process, is consistent with local practice and custom, and will not prejudice any parties in interest.

ii. The Bidding Procedures

16. The Bidding Procedures are designed to maximize value for the Debtors' estates, while ensuring an orderly and efficient sale process. The Bidding Procedures describe, among

⁹ The Debtors, in the exercise of their business judgment, reserve the right to change these sale-related dates to achieve the maximum value for the Assets.

other things, (i) the procedures for interested parties to access due diligence materials, submit bids, and become qualified as a Stalking Horse Bidder or to participate in the Auction; (ii) the time, place, and process of any Auction; (iii) the selection and approval of any ultimately successful bidders; and (iv) the deadlines with respect to the foregoing. The Debtors believe, with the support of their secured creditors, that the Bidding Procedures provide for a sale process that will maximize the value of their estates and encourage robust participation in the bid process from all potential bidders. Indeed, as discussed above, moving forward with this process at this time, and in the manner described herein, will support two very important goals: (a) encouraging participation from the widest possible group of bidders for the Debtors' assets; and (b) improving recoveries for the Debtors' various creditor constituencies by, among other things, advancing these cases toward a conclusion, and in turn, ending the Debtors' monthly outlay of cash and the depletion of the Debtors' assets through normal operations.

17. A summary of the principal terms of the Bidding Procedures, a complete copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, including the terms that are required to be highlighted in accordance with Local Rule 6004-1, is as follows:¹⁰

Assets to Be Sold (p. 1)	Substantially all or a portion of the Assets either through one sale to a Successful Bidder or multiple sales to multiple Successful Bidders
Access to Diligence Materials (p. 2)	To receive access to due diligence materials and to participate in the bidding process, an interested party must submit to the Debtors or already be bound by (i) an executed confidentiality agreement in form and substance satisfactory to the Debtors (which, for the avoidance of doubt, may be substantially in the form attached to the Bidding Procedures as Exhibit 2), (ii) evidence demonstrating the party's financial ability to consummate either (x) a sale transaction for the Assets or (y) in the case of a Chapter 11 Plan

¹⁰ The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the provisions of the Bidding Procedures. In the event of any inconsistencies between the provisions of the Bidding Procedures and the terms herein, the terms of the Bidding Procedures shall govern. Capitalized terms not defined in this table shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Bidding Procedures.

	<p>Bid, a non-taxable recapitalization transaction pursuant to a chapter 11 plan of reorganization (any such transaction whether the type set forth in (x) or (y), an “<u>Alternate Transaction</u>”), and (iii) a statement that such party has a bona fide interest in purchasing all or some of the Assets.</p> <p>A party who, in the Debtors’ reasonable discretion, satisfies the requirements set forth in the immediately preceding sentence for receiving access to diligence materials shall be a “Diligence Party.” As promptly as practicable after the Debtors determine that a party is a Diligence Party, the Debtors will deliver to the Diligence Party access to the Debtors’ confidential electronic data room. The Debtors will afford any Diligence Party the time and opportunity to conduct reasonable due diligence before the Bid Deadline. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Debtors reserve the right to withhold any diligence materials that the Debtors determine are sensitive or otherwise not appropriate for disclosure to a Diligence Party who the Debtors determine is a competitor of the Debtors or is affiliated with any competitor of the Debtors. Neither the Debtors nor their representatives shall be obligated to furnish information of any kind whatsoever to any person that is not determined to be a Diligence Party. The Debtors shall not exclude a party from diligence who has complied with subsections (i) and (ii) of the preceding paragraph, unless they have first consulted with the Consultation Parties regarding such determination.</p>
<p>Qualification of Bidders and Qualified Bids (pp. 3-6)</p>	<p>To be eligible to participate in the Auction, each offer, solicitation, or proposal (each, a “<u>Bid</u>”), and each party submitting such a Bid (each, a “<u>Bidder</u>”) must satisfy each of the conditions set forth below, as determined by the Debtors. A Bid will not be considered qualified for the Auction if such Bid does not satisfy each of the following conditions:</p> <p>(a) <u>Good Faith Deposit</u>: Each Bid for all or a portion of the Assets and each Chapter 11 Plan Bid must be accompanied by a deposit (a “<u>Good Faith Deposit</u>”) submitted by wire transfer of immediately available funds to an account identified by the Debtors. Each Good Faith Deposit must equal (1) in the case of a Bid for all or a portion of the Assets, the amount of five percent (5%) of the purchase price contained in the Modified Purchase Agreement (as defined below), (2) in the case of a Chapter 11 Plan Bid, the amount of five percent (5%) of the amount of the cash payments contemplated by such Bid, or (3) for any Bid or Chapter 11 Plan Bid, such other amount as the Debtors determine, in consultation with the Consultation Parties; <i>provided, however</i>, that any party submitting a Bid primarily composed of a credit bid pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(k) shall not be required to submit a Good Faith Deposit.</p> <p>(b) <u>Bids for Portions of the Assets</u>: A Bid may offer to purchase</p>

	<p>all or substantially all of the Debtors' Assets or only a portion of the Assets. The Debtors may, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, waive or modify the application of the Qualified Bid conditions in respect of Bids for a portion of the Assets, including, <i>inter alia</i>, the amount of the Good Faith Deposit.</p> <p>(c) <u>Same or Better Terms</u>: To the extent a Stalking Horse Bidder is selected, each subsequent Bid for any Assets subject to the Stalking Horse Agreement (alone or combined with Bids for other Assets subject to the Stalking Horse Agreement) must be on terms that, in the Debtors' business judgment, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, are the same or better than the terms of the Stalking Horse Agreement.</p> <p>(d) <u>Executed Agreement</u>: Each Bid (other than a Chapter 11 Plan Bid) must be based on the Purchase Agreement and must include executed transaction documents, signed by an authorized representative of such Bidder, pursuant to which the Bidder proposes to effectuate an Alternate Transaction (the "<u>Modified Purchase Agreement</u>"). A Bid (other than a Chapter 11 Plan Bid) shall also include a copy of the Purchase Agreement marked against the Modified Purchase Agreement to show all changes requested by the Bidder (including those related to purchase price).</p> <p>A Chapter 11 Plan Bid must be accompanied by an executed investment agreement, signed by an authorized representative of such Bidder, pursuant to which the Bidder proposes to effectuate an Alternate Transaction (the "<u>Investment Agreement</u>"). Such Investment Agreement must provide for a fully-committed investment of capital in exchange for substantially all of the equity of the reorganized Debtors in a non-taxable recapitalization transaction effectuated pursuant to a chapter 11 plan of reorganization.</p> <p>(e) <u>Designation of Assigned Contracts and Leases, Payment of Cure Amounts</u>: A Bid must identify any and all executory contracts and unexpired leases of the Debtors that the Bidder wishes to have assumed and, with respect to any Bid that is not a Chapter 11 Plan Bid, assigned to it at closing and provide for the payment of all cure amounts payable with respect to such contracts and leases under the Bankruptcy Code.</p> <p>(f) <u>Corporate Authority</u>: A Bid must include written evidence reasonably acceptable to the Debtors demonstrating</p>
--	---

	<p>appropriate corporate authorization to consummate the proposed Alternate Transaction, <i>provided</i> that, if the Bidder is an entity specially formed for the purpose of effectuating the Alternate Transaction, then the Bidder must furnish written evidence reasonably acceptable to the Debtors of the approval of the Alternate Transaction by the equity holder(s) of such Bidder.</p> <p>(g) <u>Disclosure of Identity of Bidder</u>: A Bid must fully disclose the identity of each entity that will be bidding for or purchasing the Assets or otherwise participating in connection with such Bid (including any equity holder or other financial backer if the Bidder is an entity specifically formed for the purpose of effectuating the Alternate Transaction), and the complete terms of any such participation, including any binding agreements, arrangements, or understandings concerning a collaborative or joint bid or any other combination concerning the proposed Bid.</p> <p>(h) <u>Proof of Financial Ability to Perform</u>: A Bid must include written evidence that the Debtors reasonably conclude, in consultation with their advisors and the Bid Consultation Parties, demonstrates that the Bidder has the necessary financial ability to (i) close the Alternate Transaction and (ii) provide adequate assurance of future performance under all contracts to be assumed and assigned in such Alternate Transaction. Such information must include, <i>inter alia</i>, the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) contact names and numbers for verification of financing sources; (2) evidence of the Bidder's internal resources and proof of unconditional debt funding commitments from a recognized financial institution and, if applicable, equity commitments in an aggregate amount equal to the cash portion of such Bid (including, if applicable, the Bidder's payment of cure amounts) or the posting of an irrevocable letter of credit from a recognized banking institution issued in favor of the Debtors in such amount, in each case, as are needed to close the Alternate Transaction; (3) the Bidder's current financial statements (audited if they exist) or other similar financial information reasonably acceptable to the Debtors;
--	--

	<p>(4) a description of the Bidder’s pro forma capital structure; and</p> <p>(5) any such other form of financial disclosure or credit-quality support information or enhancement reasonably requested by the Debtors, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, demonstrating that such Bidder has the ability to close the Alternate Transaction.</p> <p>(i) <u>Regulatory and Third Party Approvals</u>: A Bid must set forth each regulatory and third-party approval required for the Bidder to consummate the Alternate Transaction, if any, and the time period within which the Bidder expects to receive such regulatory and third-party approvals (and in the case that receipt of any such regulatory or third-party approval is expected to take more than thirty (30) days following execution and delivery of the Modified Purchase Agreement or Investment Agreement, those actions the Bidder will take to ensure receipt of such approval(s) as promptly as possible).</p> <p>(j) <u>Contingencies</u>: Each Bid may not be conditioned on obtaining financing or any internal approval, or on the outcome or review of due diligence.</p> <p>(k) <u>Irrevocable</u>: Each Bid must expressly provide that (1) the Bidder is prepared to consummate the transaction set forth in the Modified Purchase Agreement promptly following entry of the Sale Order and satisfaction of the closing conditions (if any) set forth in the Modified Purchase Agreement, and (2) the offer reflected in such Bid shall remain open and irrevocable until the conclusion of the Auction, <i>provided</i> that if such Bid is accepted as the Successful Bid or the Backup Bid, such Bid shall continue to remain open and irrevocable as provided under “Closing the Auction; Successful Bidder” and “Backup Bidder” below.</p> <p>(l) <u>Purchase Price Allocation</u>: Each Bid must specify the portion of the aggregate purchase price thereunder that is being allocated to each of the Barnett Shale Asset (and, if the Bid is for only a portion of the Barnett Shale Asset, the price being allocated to the Lake Arlington (including Village Creek), Hill County, Cowtown or Alliance assets within the Barnett Shale Asset), the West Texas Asset, and the intercompany note between Quicksilver Resources Inc. and Quicksilver Resources Canada Inc. (the “<u>Intercompany Note</u>”). As used</p>
--	--

in the Bidding Procedures, “Barnett Shale Asset” and “West Texas Asset,” shall have the meanings given to them in the Form 10-K filed by Quicksilver Resources Inc. with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2014.

- (m) Bid Deadline: Each Bid must be received by each of the following parties, in writing, on or before November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) or such earlier date as may be designated by the Debtors in consultation with the Consultation Parties (the “Bid Deadline”): (1) the Debtors, Quicksilver Resources Inc., 801 Cherry St., Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, TX 76102, Attn: Glenn Darden, CEO (gdarden@qrinc.com), Vanessa Gomez LaGatta, CFO (vgomez@qrinc.com), and John Little, SAO (jollittle@deloitte.com); (2) counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. (sschultz@akingump.com) and Stephen B. Kuhn, Esq. (skuhn@akingump.com); (3) investment banker for the Debtors, Houlihan Lokey, 245 Park Avenue, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10167, Attn: John-Paul Hanson (jhanson@hl.com) and Adam Dunayer (adunayer@hl.com); (4) counsel for the Committee, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, Attn: Andrew N. Rosenberg, Esq. (arosenberg@paulweiss.com) and Elizabeth McColm, Esq. (emccolm@paulweiss.com); (5) counsel for the First Lien Agent, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attn: Steven M. Fuhrman, Esq. (sfuhrman@stblaw.com); (6) counsel for the Second Lien Agent (as defined below), Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10022, Attn: Mitchell A. Seider, Esq. (mitchell.seider@lw.com) and David Hammerman, Esq. (david.hammerman@lw.com); (7) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee, Emmet, Marvin & Martin LLP, 120 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York NY 10271, Attn: Edward P. Zujkowski, Esq. (ezujkowski@emmetmarvin.com) and Thomas Pitta, Esq. (tpitta@emmetmarvin.com); and (8) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 28 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq. (ddunne@milbank.com) and Samuel A. Khalil, Esq. (skhalil@milbank.com).

A Bid received from a Bidder on or before the Bid Deadline that meets the requirements set forth above for the applicable Assets shall constitute a

	<p>“<u>Qualified Bid</u>” for such Assets, and such Bidder shall constitute a “<u>Qualified Bidder</u>” for such Assets.</p>
<p>Credit Bidding (p. 9)</p>	<p>Notwithstanding anything else contained in the Bidding Procedures, the Second Lien Parties shall have the right, subject in all respects to the Bankruptcy Code and other applicable law, to credit bid all or any portion of their allowed secured claims at the Auction pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(k) or other applicable law, in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Second Lien Secured Debt Documents; <i>provided</i> that such Bid otherwise complies with these Bidding Procedures, the Intercreditor Agreement and the Bankruptcy Code and subject to the rights of the Committee as set forth in paragraph 8 of the Bidding Procedures Order.</p>
<p>Option to Select Stalking Horse with Bid Protections (p. 7)</p>	<p>Subject to the provisions set forth in the Bidding Procedures and in consultation with the Consultation Parties, the Debtors reserve the right, at any time before December 1, 2015, to enter into a purchase agreement (the “<u>Stalking Horse Agreement</u>”), subject to higher or otherwise better offers at the Auction, with any Qualified Bidder that submits a Qualified Bid acceptable to the Debtors, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, to establish a minimum Qualified Bid at the Auction. The Stalking Horse Agreement may contain certain customary terms and conditions, including expense reimbursement and a break-up fee in favor of the Stalking Horse Bidder (the “<u>Bid Protections</u>”) in amounts to be determined by the Debtors in consultation with the Consultation Parties. To the extent the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, the Debtors shall seek expedited approval of their entry into such agreement and any Bid Protections included therein together with the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder, on no less than seven (7) days’ notice. The Debtors shall serve notice of the Stalking Horse Agreement and the Stalking Horse Hearing on all parties on the Debtors’ Rule 2002 Notice List, all parties expressing interest in the Assets and all parties holding liens on the Assets (each, a “<u>Stalking Horse Notice</u>”). Each Stalking Horse Notice will include (i) the identity of the proposed Stalking Horse Bidder, (ii) a summary of the key terms of the Stalking Horse Agreement, (iii) a summary of the type and amount of Bid Protections, if any, proposed to be afforded to the Stalking Horse Bidder, and (iv) a correct and complete copy of the Stalking Horse Agreement. At least five (5) days prior to the Auction, the Debtors shall distribute complete and correct copies of the Stalking Horse Agreement(s), if any, to each of the other Qualified Bidders. Notwithstanding anything in the Bidding Procedures or in the Bidding Procedures Order to the contrary, all parties in interest shall have the right, at any time before the start of the Stalking Horse Hearing, to object to the designation of a Stalking Horse Bidder, the provision of Bid Protections to such Stalking Horse Bidder and the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder.</p> <p>Any Stalking Horse Agreement executed by the Debtors and the transactions</p>

	<p>contemplated thereby will be deemed a Qualified Bid for all purposes, and any Stalking Horse Bidder party to a Stalking Horse Agreement executed by the Debtors will be deemed to be Qualified Bidder.</p>
<p>Auction (pp. 8-9)</p>	<p>If two or more Qualified Bids for the same Assets are received by the Bid Deadline, the Debtors will conduct the Auction to determine the highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid. If less than two Qualified Bids are received by the Bid Deadline with respect to any portion of the Assets, the Debtors shall not conduct the Auction with respect to such Assets. If only one Qualified Bid is received with respect to all or a portion of the Assets, the Debtors may, after consultation with the Consultation Parties, designate such Qualified Bid as a Successful Bid. Only Qualified Bidders may participate in the Auction.</p> <p>The Auction shall take place on December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time) at the offices of counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 4100, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other place and time as the Debtors shall notify all Qualified Bidders and the Consultation Parties. The Auction shall be conducted according to the following procedures:</p> <p>Only the Debtors, the Consultation Parties, the Stalking Horse Bidder(s) (if any), any other Qualified Bidders, and/or other party as the Debtors may determine to include in their discretion, in each case along with their representatives and advisors, shall be entitled to attend the Auction (such attendance to be in person) and only Qualified Bidders will be entitled to make any Overbids (as defined below) at the Auction.</p> <p>The Debtors and their advisors shall direct and preside over the Auction, which shall be transcribed. Other than as expressly set forth in the Bidding Procedures, the Debtors (in consultation with the Consultation Parties or, to the extent provided in the Bidding Procedures, the Bid Consultation Parties) may conduct the Auction in the manner they determine will result in the highest or otherwise best offer for any of the Assets. The Debtors shall use their best efforts to, at least 24 hours prior to commencement of the Auction, provide the Consultation Parties and each Qualified Bidder participating in the Auction with a copy of the Modified Purchase Agreement associated with the highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid with respect to the Assets for which such Qualified Bidder is bidding, as determined by the Debtors in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties (such highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid, the "<u>Auction Baseline Bid</u>"). In the event that the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement with respect to any Assets, such Stalking Horse Agreement shall be the Auction Baseline Bid with respect to such Assets. At the start of the Auction, the Debtors shall describe the material terms of the Auction Baseline Bid and each Qualified Bidder participating in the Auction must confirm that (a) it has not engaged in any collusion with respect to the bidding or sale of any of the Assets described in the Bidding Procedures, (b) it has reviewed, understands, and accepts the Bidding Procedures, (c) it has consented to the core jurisdiction of the</p>

	Bankruptcy Court (as described more fully below), and (d) its Qualified Bid is a good faith bona fide offer that it intends to consummate if selected as the Successful Bidder.
Terms and Announcement of Overbids (pp. 9-10)	<p>An “<u>Overbid</u>” is any bid made at the Auction, in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Bidding Procedures, subsequent to the Debtors’ announcement of the respective Auction Baseline Bid. To submit an Overbid for purposes of this Auction, a Bidder must comply with the following conditions:</p> <p>(a) <u>Minimum Overbid Increments</u>: The initial Overbid, if any, shall provide for total consideration to the Debtors with a value that exceeds the value of the consideration under the Auction Baseline Bid by an incremental amount that is not less than the sum of (x) \$500,000 (the “<u>Minimum Overbid Increment</u>”) plus (y) in the event that the Debtors have entered into a Stalking Horse Agreement with respect to the Assets to which the Overbid relates, the aggregate amount of any Bid Protections under such Stalking Horse Agreement, and each successive Overbid shall exceed the then-existing Overbid by an incremental amount that is not less than the Minimum Overbid Increment. The Debtors reserve the right, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, to announce reductions or increases in the Minimum Overbid Increment at any time during the Auction. Additional consideration in excess of the amount set forth in the respective Auction Baseline Bid may include (i) cash and/or noncash consideration, <i>provided, however</i>, that the value for such non-cash consideration shall be determined by the Debtors in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, and (ii) in the case of a Bid by the Second Lien Parties, a credit bid of up to the full amount of the such secured creditors’ allowed secured claim, subject to the terms of the Intercreditor Agreement and the Bankruptcy Code and subject to the rights of the Committee as set forth in paragraph 8 of the Bidding Procedures Order.</p> <p>(b) <u>Remaining Terms Are the Same as for Qualified Bids</u>: Except as modified in the Bidding Procedures, an Overbid at the Auction must comply with the conditions for a Qualified Bid set forth above, provided, however, that the Bid Deadline shall not apply. Any Overbid must include, in addition to the amount and the form of consideration of the Overbid, a description of all changes requested by the Bidder to the Purchase Agreement, Modified Purchase Agreement or Investment Agreement, as the case may be, in connection therewith. For the avoidance of doubt, any Overbid shall be</p>

	<p>irrevocable and shall remain open and binding on the Bidder in accordance with these Bidding Procedures and the conditions for a Qualified Bid.</p> <p>At the Debtors’ discretion, to the extent not previously provided (which shall be determined by the Debtors in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties), a Bidder submitting an Overbid at the Auction must submit, as part of its Overbid, written evidence (in the form of financial disclosure or credit-quality support information or enhancement reasonably acceptable to the Debtors), as the Debtors, in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, may request, demonstrating such Bidder’s ability to consummate the Alternate Transaction proposed by such Overbid.</p> <p>Announcement and Consideration of Overbids.</p> <p>(a) <u>Announcement of Overbids</u>: A Bidder submitting an Overbid at the Auction shall announce at the Auction the material terms of such Overbid, including the total amount and type of consideration offered in such Overbid.</p> <p>(b) <u>Consideration of Overbids</u>: The Debtors reserve the right, in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Consultation Parties, to make one or more continuances of the Auction to, among other things: facilitate discussions between the Debtors and individual Qualified Bidders; allow individual Qualified Bidders to consider how they wish to proceed; and give Qualified Bidders the opportunity to provide the Debtors with such additional evidence as the Debtors, in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, may require, that the Qualified Bidder has sufficient internal resources, or has received sufficient non-contingent debt and/or equity funding commitments, to consummate the proposed Alternate Transaction at the prevailing Overbid amount; <i>provided that</i>, to the extent the Debtors determine to request that any Qualified Bidder increase the amount of their Good Faith Deposit, the Debtors first shall consult with the Bid Consultation Parties before making such request.</p>
<p>Closing the Auction; Successful Bidder (p. 11)</p>	<p>The Auction shall continue until there is only one Qualified Bid for the Assets that the Debtors determine in their reasonable business judgment, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, is the highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid at the Auction. Thereafter, the Debtors shall select such Qualified Bid, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, as the</p>

	<p>overall highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid (such Bid, the “<u>Successful Bid</u>,” and the Bidder submitting such Successful Bid, the “<u>Successful Bidder</u>”). In making this decision, the Debtors shall consider the Bid Assessment Criteria.</p> <p>The Auction shall close when the Successful Bidder(s) submits fully executed sale and transaction documents or a fully executed Investment Agreement, as applicable, memorializing the terms of the Successful Bid(s).</p> <p>Promptly following the Debtors’ selection of the Successful Bid(s) and the conclusion of the Auction, the Debtors shall announce the Successful Bid(s) and Successful Bidder(s) and shall file with the Bankruptcy Court notice of the Successful Bid(s) and Successful Bidder(s).</p> <p>The Debtors shall not consider any Bids submitted after the conclusion of the Auction. The Successful Bidder(s) shall be required to keep the Successful Bid(s) open and irrevocable until the closing of the transactions contemplated thereby.</p>
<p>Closing with Alternative Backup Bidders (p. 12)</p>	<p>Notwithstanding anything in the Bidding Procedures to the contrary, the Qualified Bid for the Assets that the Debtors determine in their reasonable business judgment, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, is the next highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid at the Auction after the Successful Bid, will be designated as the “<u>Backup Bid</u>” and the Bidder submitting such Backup Bid, the “<u>Backup Bidder</u>.” The Backup Bidder shall be required to keep the Backup Bid open and irrevocable until the earlier of 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on the date that is eighty (80) days after the date of entry of the Sale Order (the “<u>Outside Backup Date</u>”) or the closing of the transaction with the Successful Bidder (defined herein).</p> <p>Following entry of the Sale Order, if the Successful Bidder fails to consummate the Successful Bid, the Debtors may, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, designate the Backup Bid to be the new Successful Bid and the Backup Bidder to be the new Successful Bidder, and the Debtors will be authorized, but not required, to consummate the transaction with the Backup Bidder without further order of the Bankruptcy Court. In such case of a breach or failure to perform on the part of the Successful Bidder, the defaulting Successful Bidder’s deposit shall be forfeited to the Debtors. The Debtors specifically reserve the right to seek all available damages, including specific performance, from any defaulting Successful Bidder (including any Backup Bidder designated as a Successful Bidder) in accordance with the terms of the Bidding Procedures.</p>
<p>Modification of Bidding and Auction Procedures (pp. 10 -11)</p>	<p>The Debtors (in consultation with the Consultation Parties), in the exercise of their fiduciary duties for the purpose of maximizing value for their estates from the sale process, may modify the Bidding Procedures and implement additional procedural rules for conducting the Auction. Specifically, among other things, the Debtors, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, may determine to select more than one Successful Bid and more than one</p>

	Successful Bidder (and/or more than one Backup Bid and more than one Backup Bidder, in which event such Backup Bids may provide for groupings of Assets that are different from the groupings of Assets reflected in the Successful Bid(s)) for separate portions of the Assets.
--	--

iii. Reservation of Rights Regarding Potential Stalking Horse Bidder(s) and Form of Purchase Agreement

18. As discussed above, the Debtors have determined, in a reasonable exercise of their business judgment, that seeking the relief requested herein and commencing a sale process without entering into a Stalking Horse Agreement is warranted and necessary. The Debtors are continuing their discussions with potential purchasers and, in accordance with the Bidding Procedures, may enter into a purchase agreement with any Stalking Horse Bidder acceptable to the Debtors (the “Stalking Horse Agreement”) at any time before December 1, 2015, to establish a minimum Qualified Bid at, and subject to higher or otherwise better offers during, the Auction.

19. To facilitate a competitive, value-maximizing Sale Transaction, the Debtors are requesting authority, in the exercise of their business judgment and in accordance with the Bidding Procedures, to offer any Stalking Horse Bidder: (i) a break-up fee (the “Break-Up Fee”); (ii) reimbursement of the Stalking Horse Bidder’s reasonable fees and expenses (the “Expense Reimbursement”); and/or (iii) initial overbid protection (the “Minimum Overbid Increment” and, together with the Break-Up Fee and the Expense Reimbursement, the “Bid Protections”).

20. In lieu of a Stalking Horse Agreement at this time, the Debtors have prepared a form of Purchase Agreement, which the Debtors (i) intend to file on the docket at least fourteen (14) days before the hearing regarding this motion and (ii) will distribute to all parties who designate their interest in bidding on the Assets. As set forth in the Bidding Procedures, each Bid (other than a Chapter 11 Plan Bid) must be based on the Purchase Agreement and must include a markup showing any changes to the form of Purchase Agreement.

D. Notice Procedures

21. The Debtors propose the following notice procedures to be implemented in connection with the sale process.

i. Notice of Sale, Auction, and Sale Hearing

22. Within seven (7) days after the entry of the Bidding Procedures Order or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter (the “Mailing Date”), the Debtors shall serve a sale notice, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit C** (the “Sale Notice”), the Purchase Agreement, the Bidding Procedures Order, and the Bidding Procedures by first-class mail, postage prepaid, or, for those parties who have consented to receive notice by the Electronic Case Files (“ECF”) system, by ECF, upon (i) all entities reasonably known to have expressed an interest in a transaction with respect to all or part of the Assets within the past two years; (ii) all entities known to have asserted any lien, claim, interest, or encumbrance in or upon any of the Assets; (iii) counsel for the Committee; (iv) counsel for the First Lien Agent; (v) counsel for the Second Lien Agent; (vi) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee; (vii) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders; and (viii) the U.S. Trustee; *provided, however*, that to the extent email addresses are available, parties in the forgoing section 22(i) may be served by email.

23. In addition, within seven (7) days after the entry of the Bidding Procedures Order or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, the Debtors shall serve the Sale Notice by first-class mail, postage prepaid or, for those parties who have consented to receive notice by the ECF system, by ECF, upon (i) all federal, state, and local regulatory or taxing authorities or recording offices which have a reasonably known interest in the relief granted herein; (ii) the United States Attorney’s offices for the District of Delaware and the Northern District of Texas; (iii) the SEC; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) all parties entitled to notice pursuant to Local Rule 2002-1(b); (vi) all known creditors of the Debtors, including their contract counterparties;

and (vii) all registered holders of equity securities in Quicksilver. The Debtors request that such notice be deemed sufficient and proper notice of the Sale Transaction with respect to known interested parties.

24. Notice by mail to all parties potentially interested in purchasing the Debtors' Assets or participating in the Auction is impracticable. As a result, the Debtors have determined that it is in the best interest of their estates to also provide notice by publication. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 6004, the Debtors propose to publish the Sale Notice in a form substantially similar to the notice attached hereto as **Exhibit D**, in the *New York Times*, the *Ft. Worth Star Telegram*, and *Platts Gas Daily* on one occasion on the Mailing Date or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter. In addition, the Debtors (i) respectfully request the authority, but not the direction, to publish the Sale Notice in additional publications as the Debtors deem appropriate and (ii) will cause the Sale Notice to be posted on their case information website at <http://cases.gcginc.com/kwk>.

ii. Notice of Stalking Horse Hearing

25. To the extent the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, the Debtors shall seek expedited approval of their entry into such agreement, any Bid Protections included therein and the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder, on no less than seven (7) days' notice. The Debtors shall serve a Stalking Horse Notice on all parties that have requested notice in these chapter 11 cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002 (the "Rule 2002 Notice List"), all parties expressing interest in the Assets, and all parties holding liens on the Assets. Each Stalking Horse Notice will include (i) the identity of the proposed Stalking Horse Bidder, (ii) a summary of the key terms of the Stalking Horse Agreement, (iii) a summary of the type and amount of Bid Protections, if any, proposed to be afforded to the Stalking Horse Bidder, and (iv) a copy of the Stalking Horse Agreement.

iii. Date, Time, Place, and Notice of Auction

26. The Auction, if any, shall take place on December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time) at the offices of counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 4100, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other place and time as the Debtors shall notify all Qualified Bidders and the Consultation Parties.

iv. Notice of Successful Bidder

27. As soon as reasonably practicable after the conclusion of the Auction, the Debtors shall file on the docket, but not serve, a notice identifying any Successful Bidder(s) (the “Post-Auction Notice”), substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.

v. Date, Time, and Place of Sale Hearing

28. The Sale Hearing shall be conducted by the Bankruptcy Court on **December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** or such other date as the Court is available and may, in accordance with the Bidding Procedures Order, be adjourned or rescheduled without notice. At the Sale Hearing, the Debtors will seek Bankruptcy Court approval of the Successful Bid and the Backup Bid (if any). Unless the Bankruptcy Court orders otherwise, the Sale Hearing shall be an evidentiary hearing on matters relating to the Sale Transaction and there will be no further bidding at the Sale Hearing. In the event that the Successful Bidder cannot or refuses to consummate the Sale because of the breach or failure on the part of the Successful Bidder, the Backup Bidder will be deemed the new Successful Bidder and the Debtors shall be authorized, but not required, to close with the Backup Bidder on the Backup Bid without further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

vi. Objection Deadline

29. Any and all objections, if any, to any Sale Transaction (a “Sale Objection”), must be filed by **December 2, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Sale Objection”).

Deadline”) and served on (i) the Debtors, Quicksilver Resources Inc., 801 Cherry St., Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, TX 76102, Attn: Vanessa Gomez LaGatta, CFO; (ii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq.; (iii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A., One Rodney Square, 920 North King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Paul N. Heath, Esq. and Amanda R. Steele, Esq.; (iv) co-counsel for the Committee, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, Attn: Andrew N. Rosenberg, Esq. and Elizabeth McColm, Esq.; (v) co-counsel for the Committee, Landis Rath & Cobb LLP, 919 Market Street, Suite 1800, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Richard S. Cobb, Esq. and Matthew McGuire, Esq.; (vi) counsel for the First Lien Agent, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attn: Steven M. Fuhrman, Esq.; (vii) counsel for the Second Lien Agent, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10022, Attn: Mitchell A. Seider, Esq. and David Hammerman, Esq.; (viii) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee, Emmet, Marvin & Martin LLP, 120 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10271, Attn: Edward P. Zujkowski, Esq. and Thomas Pitta, Esq.; (ix) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 28 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq. and Samuel A. Khalil, Esq.; (x) the U.S. Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn. Jane Leamy, Esq.; (xi) if applicable, counsel to any Stalking Horse Bidder(s); (xii) all parties that have requested notice in these chapter 11 cases (collectively (i)-(xii), the “Objection Recipients”); and (xiii) counsel to any Successful Bidder(s), if known on the Sale Objection Deadline.

30. All replies to any Sale Objection or Designated Contract Objection (as defined below) must be filed by **December 11, 2015 at 12:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Reply Deadline”).

31. Any party failing to timely file an objection to any Sale Transaction will be forever barred from objecting and will be deemed to have consented to any Sale Transaction, including the transfer of the Debtors’ right, title and interest in, to, and under the Debtors’ Assets free and clear of any and all liens, claims, encumbrances and other interests in accordance with a definitive agreement for any Sale Transaction.

E. Assumption and Assignment Procedures

32. The Debtors propose the procedures set forth below for notifying counterparties to executory contracts and unexpired leases of proposed cure amounts in the event the Debtors decide to assume and assign such contracts or leases.

i. Notice of Assumption and Assignment

33. On or before November 16, 2015, (any such date, the “Assumption and Assignment Service Date”) the Debtors shall file with the Court, and post on the website maintained for these chapter 11 cases at <http://cases.gcginc.com/kwk/> (the “Case Website”), a notice of assumption and assignment, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit F** (the “Notice of Assumption and Assignment”) and, included therewith, a list (the “Designated Contracts List”) that specifies (i) each of the Debtors’ executory contracts and unexpired leases (the “Designated Contracts”) and (ii) the proposed amount necessary, if any, to cure all monetary defaults, if any, under each Designated Contract (the “Cure Costs”). If no Cure Cost is listed on the Designated Contracts List, the Debtors believe that there is no Cure Cost, as of the date of such notice. The Debtors shall serve, via first class mail, a customized version of the Notice of Assumption and Assignment, without the Designated Contracts List, which will include

(a) instructions regarding how to view that list on the Case Website (the “DCL Instructions”), (b) information necessary and appropriate to provide notice of the relevant proposed assumption and assignment of Designated Contract(s) and rights thereunder, (c) Cure Costs, if any, and (d) the procedures for objecting thereto ((b)-(d) collectively, the “Necessary Notice Information”) on all counterparties to the Designated Contracts. The Debtors shall serve, via first class mail, a modified version of the Notice of Assumption and Assignment that contains the DCL Instructions and Necessary Notice Information on the Rule 2002 Notice List. Service as set forth herein shall be deemed proper, due, timely, good, and sufficient notice and no other or further notice is necessary.

34. A counterparty to a Designated Contract listed on the Notice of Assumption and Assignment may file an objection (a “Designated Contract Objection”) only if such objection is to the proposed assumption and assignment of the applicable Designated Contract or the proposed Cure Costs, if any. All Designated Contract Objections must (i) state, with specificity, the legal and factual basis for the objection as well as what Cure Costs are required, if any, (ii) include appropriate documentation in support thereof, and (iii) be filed and served on the Objection Recipients no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) fourteen (14) days following the Assumption and Assignment Service Date (the “Assumption and Assignment Objection Deadline”).

35. If a counterparty to a Designated Contract files a Designated Contract Objection in a manner that is consistent with the requirements set forth above, and the parties are unable to consensually resolve the dispute prior to the Sale Hearing, the amount to be paid or reserved with respect to such objection will be determined at the Sale Hearing, such later hearing date that the Debtors determine in their discretion, or such other date determined by this Court.

ii. Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment

36. Although the Debtors intend to make a good-faith effort to identify all Designated Contracts that may be assumed and assigned in connection with a Sale Transaction, the Debtors may discover certain contracts inadvertently omitted from the Designated Contracts list or Successful Bidder(s) may identify other executory contracts or unexpired leases that they desire to assume and assign in connection with the Sale. Accordingly, the Debtors reserve the right, at any time after the Assumption and Assignment Service Date and before the closing of a Sale Transaction, to (i) supplement the list of Designated Contracts on the Notice of Assumption and Assignment with previously omitted Designated Contracts in accordance with the definitive agreement for a Sale Transaction, (ii) remove a Designated Contract from the list of contracts ultimately selected as a Designated Contract that a Successful Bidder proposes be assumed and assigned to it in connection with a Sale Transaction, and/or (iii) modify the previously stated Cure Cost associated with any Designated Contract.

37. In the event that the Debtors exercise any of the rights reserved above, the Debtors will promptly serve a supplemental notice of assumption and assignment (a "Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment"). The Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment will be served by electronic transmission, hand delivery, or overnight mail on the counterparty (and its attorney, if known) to each impacted Designated Contract at the last known address available to the Debtors. Each Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment will include the same information with respect to listed Designated Contracts as was included in the Notice of Assumption and Assignment.

38. Any counterparty to a Designated Contract listed on a Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment may file an objection (a "Supplemental Designated Contract Objection"). A Supplemental Designated Contract Objection may be filed only if such objection

is to the proposed assumption and assignment of the applicable Designated Contract or the proposed Cure Costs, if any. All Supplemental Designated Contract Objections must (i) state, with specificity, the legal and factual basis thereof as well as what Cure Costs the objecting party believes are required, if any, (ii) include appropriate documentation in support of the objection, and (iii) be filed and served on the Objection Recipients no later than fourteen days from the date of service of such Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment, which date will be set forth in the Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment.

39. If a counterparty to a Designated Contract files a Supplemental Designated Contract Objection in a manner that is consistent with the requirements set forth above, and the parties are unable to consensually resolve the dispute, the Debtors will seek an expedited hearing before the Court (a “Supplemental Designated Contract Hearing”) to determine the Cure Costs, if any, and approve the assumption of the relevant Designated Contracts. If there is no such objection, then the Debtors will obtain an order of this Court, including by filing a certification of no objection, (a “Supplemental Designated Contract Order”) fixing the Cure Costs and approving the assumption of any Designated Contract listed on a Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment.

iii. Additional Procedures

40. If the counterparty to a Designated Contract does not file and serve a Designated Contract Objection or Supplemental Designated Contract Objection in a manner that is consistent with the requirements set forth above, such counterparty will be deemed to have consented to the assumption and assignment of the Designated Contract to a Successful Bidder as an Acquired Contract (as defined below), notwithstanding any anti-alienation provision or other restriction on assumption or assignment in the Designated Contract, and shall be forever barred from asserting

any objection with regard to such assumption and assignment, except with respect to the adequate assurance of future performance by such Successful Bidder.

41. Any objections to a Successful Bidder's proposed form of adequate assurance of future performance must be raised at the Sale Hearing or Supplemental Designated Contract Hearing, as applicable, and will be resolved at the hearing at which it is raised or, in the Debtors' discretion, adjourned to a later hearing.

42. Absent the filing of a Designated Contract Objection or Supplemental Designated Contract Objection and a subsequent order of the Court establishing an alternative Cure Cost, (i) the Cure Costs, if any, set forth in the Notice of Assumption and Assignment (or Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment) shall be controlling, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in any Designated Contract or any other document, and (ii) the counterparty to the Designated Contract will be deemed to have consented to the assumption, assignment, and sale of the Designated Contract and the Cure Costs, if any, and will be forever barred from asserting any other claims related to such Designated Contract against the Debtors or the applicable Successful Bidder, or the property of any of them.

43. The inclusion of a Designated Contract on the Notice of Assumption and Assignment (or Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment) will not (a) obligate the Debtors to assume any Designated Contract listed thereon or the Successful Bidder(s) to take assignment of such Designated Contract or (b) constitute any admission or agreement of the Debtors that such Designated Contract is an executory contract. Only those Designated Contracts that are included on a schedule of assumed and Acquired Contracts attached to the final Purchase Agreement with the Successful Bidder(s) (each, an "Acquired Contract") will be assumed and assigned to the Successful Bidder(s).

RELIEF REQUESTED

44. By this motion, the Debtors request entry of the Bidding Procedures Order, which will authorize and approve, among other things: (i) the Bidding Procedures for soliciting bids; (ii) the Assumption and Assignment Procedures; (iii) the form and manner of notice with respect to certain procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described herein and attached hereto;¹¹ (iv) the scheduling of the Stalking Horse Hearing (if any), the Auction (if any), and the Sale Hearing; and (v) granting related relief. At the Sale Hearing, the Debtors will also request entry of the Sale Order (or Sale Orders) that will approve the Sale Transaction or Sale Transactions.

SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

45. Bankruptcy Code section 363(b)(1) provides that “[t]he trustee, after notice and a hearing, may use, sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate.” 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1). Courts in this Circuit and others, in applying this section, have required that the sale of a debtor’s assets be based upon the sound business judgment of the debtor. *See, e.g., Dai-Ichi Kangyo Bank, Ltd. v. Montgomery Ward Holding Corp. (In re Montgomery Ward Holding Corp.)*, 242 B.R. 147 (Bankr. D. Del. 1999) (holding that an asset sale may be authorized under Bankruptcy Code section 363 if the court finds a “sound business purpose” for the sale) (citing *Committee of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.)*, 722 F.2d 1063, 1070 (2d Cir. 1983)); *see also Official Comm. of Unsecured Creditors v. LTV Corp. (In re Chateaugay Corp.)*, 973 F.2d 141, 143 (2d Cir. 1992) (holding that a judge reviewing a section 363(b) application must find from the evidence presented a good business reason to grant such application).

¹¹ To the extent that any of the deadlines set forth in this motion do not comply with the Local Rules, the Debtors hereby request a waiver of any such Local Rule.

46. “Under Delaware law, the business judgment rule operates as a presumption ‘that directors making a business decision, not involving self-interest, act on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that their actions are in the corporation’s best interest.’” *Continuing Creditors’ Comm. of Star Telecomms., Inc. v. Edgecomb*, 385 F.Supp.2d 449, 462 (D. Del. 2004) (quoting *Grobow v. Perot*, 539 A.2d 180, 187 (Del. 1988) *overruled on other grounds*, *Brehm v. Eisner*, 746 A.2d 244 (Del. 2000)); *see also Ad Hoc Comm. of Equity Holders of Tectonic Network, Inc. v. Wolford*, 554 F. Supp.2d 538, 555 n.111 (D. Del. 2008) (same). Thus, this Court should grant the relief requested in this motion if the Debtors demonstrate a sound business justification therefor. *See In re Del. & Hudson Ry. Co.*, 124 B.R. 169, 179 (D. Del. 1991).

47. The Debtors have sound business justifications for selling the Assets at this time. The Debtors are committed to acquitting their fiduciary obligation to maximize distributable value for their creditors through realignment of their operating cost structure and implementing a process to advance these cases to an expedient, successful exit from chapter 11. The Debtors commenced these chapter 11 cases to adjust their capital and operational structure for the current commodity price environment. Volatile commodity prices have compressed value and acceptable levels of debt and rendered the Debtors’ capital structure unsustainable and continue to complicate the Debtors’ restructuring efforts. Although the Debtors believe that a consensual reorganization plan would provide for the most value-maximizing outcome of these cases, they are constrained by the requirements for confirmation of such a plan and the facts of these cases. Despite the Debtors’ best efforts, the Debtors do not believe that sufficient support for a confirmable chapter 11 plan of reorganization exists at this time.

48. As a result, and cognizant of their current Monthly Cash Outlay, continued use of cash collateral, the continuing depletion of their assets through normal operations, and their fiduciary obligation to maximize distributable value for all creditors, the Debtors now seek to launch a sale process that is calculated to foster the broadest possible participation by potential bidders while preserving the Debtors' right to exercise their fiduciary duties should a value-maximizing alternative materialize, including a plan of reorganization. This approach is grounded in the Debtors' belief that commencing a fair and robust sale and auction process at this time may maximize the distributable value of their estates and elicit valuable market feedback for their stakeholders. Additionally, the Debtors' secured creditors are supportive of the sale process.

49. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that the Bidding Procedures are designed to maximize the value of the Assets and that the sale of the Assets to a Successful Bidder (or Successful Bidders), is in the Debtors' best interests and should be approved.

A. The Bidding Procedures Are Fair, Designed To Maximize The Value Received For The Acquired Assets and Are Consistent with the Debtors' Reasonable Business Judgment.

50. The Bidding Procedures are consistent with the Debtors' reasonable business judgment and should be approved. Courts have made clear that a debtor's business judgment is entitled to substantial deference. *See, e.g., Stanizale v. Nachtomi (In re Tower Air, Inc.)*, 416 F.3d 229, 234 (3d Cir. 2005) (indicating the "business judgment rule creates a presumption that directors making a business decision, not involving self-interest, act on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that their actions are in the corporation's best interest" (quotations omitted)). To that end, courts recognize that procedures intended to enhance competitive bidding are consistent with the goal of maximizing the value received by the estate and therefore are appropriate in the context of bankruptcy sales. *See, e.g., Official Comm. of*

Subordinated Bondholders v. Integrated Res., Inc. (In re Integrated Res., Inc.), 147 B.R. 650, 659 (S.D.N.Y. 1992), *appeal dismissed*, 3 F.3d 49 (2d Cir. 1993) (noting that such procedures “encourage bidding and to maximize the value of the debtor’s assets”); *see also In re Cal Dive Int’l, Inc.*, No. 15-10458 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 25, 2015) [D.I. 539].

51. The Debtors believe that the Bidding Procedures are appropriate under Bankruptcy Code sections 105 and 363 to ensure that the bidding process is fair and reasonable and will yield the maximum value for their estates and creditors. The currently proposed Bidding Procedures will establish parameters pursuant to which the value of the Assets may be fully tested at the Auction and ensuing Sale Hearing. Such procedures will increase the likelihood that the Debtors receive the greatest possible consideration for the Assets because they will ensure a competitive and fair bidding process that will encourage participation by financially capable bidders who demonstrate the ability to close such a transaction. Indeed, the Debtors have put limited (if any) constraints on the ability of prospective purchasers to bid on the Assets, and instead have encouraged bid flexibility by, *inter alia*, allowing the Debtors to consider all competing offers—including offers for all or some Assets and offers in the form of a Chapter 11 Plan Bid—and to select, in their reasonable business judgment, and after consultation with the Consultation Parties (as defined in the Bidding Procedures), the highest or otherwise best offer for the Assets. The Bidding Procedures also provide potential bidders with sufficient notice and an opportunity to acquire information necessary to submit a timely and informed bid. Further, the Debtors have instituted mechanisms to ensure an open and robust bidding process at the Auction.

52. The Bidding Procedures are also consistent with the precedent in this District. *See, e.g., In re Endeavour Operating Corp.*, No. 14-12308 (KJC) (Bankr. D. Del. May 20, 2015)

[D.I. 668]; *In re Old FOH Inc. (f/k/a/ Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc.)*, No. 15-10836 (KG) (May 6, 2015) [D.I. 120]; *In re Caché Inc.*, No. 15-10172 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 25, 2015) [D.I. 196]; *In re RS Legacy Corp. (f/k/a RadioShack Corp.)*, No. 15-10197 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 20, 2015) [D.I. 457]; *In re Dendreon Corp.*, No. 14-12515 (PJW) (Bankr. D. Del. Dec. 17, 2014) [D.I. 195]; *In re PSL – N. Am. LLC*, No. 14-11477 (PJW) (Bankr. D. Del. July 14, 2014) [D.I. 97]; *In re Phoenix Payment Sys., Inc.*, No. 14-11848 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. Aug. 26, 2014) [D.I. 95].

53. Accordingly, for all of the foregoing reasons, the Debtors believe that the Bidding Procedures (i) will encourage robust bidding for the Assets, (ii) are consistent with other procedures previously approved by courts in this District and (iii) are appropriate under the relevant standards governing auction proceedings and bidding incentives in bankruptcy proceedings and should be approved.

B. Bid Protections May Be Necessary to Preserve the Value of the Debtors' Estates.

54. By this motion, the Debtors reserve the right to enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, as an exercise of their business judgment and in accordance with the Bidding Procedures, and to offer Bid Protections in connection therewith. Specifically, the Debtors may offer any Stalking Horse Bidder some or all of the following Bid Protections: (i) a Break-Up Fee; (ii) an Expense Reimbursement; and/or (iii) an Minimum Overbid Increment. Any Bid Protections afforded to a Stalking Horse Bidder shall be subject to further approval of this Court on no less than seven (7) days' notice.

55. Approval of these forms of Bid Protections in connection with the sale of significant assets pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363 has become established practice in chapter 11 cases. *See, e.g., Calpine Corp. v. O'Brien Env'tl. Energy, Inc. (In re O'Brien Env'tl. Energy, Inc.)*, 181 F.3d 527 (3d Cir. 1999); *Integrated Res., Inc.*, 147 B.R. at 656-57 (noting that

overbid procedures and break-up fee arrangements that have been negotiated by a debtor are to be reviewed according to the deferential “business judgment” standard, under which such procedures and arrangements are “presumptively valid”); *In re 995 Fifth Ave. Assocs., L.P.*, 96 B.R. 24, 28 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (holding that the business judgment standard protects break-up fees and other contractual provisions negotiated in good faith). The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has approved bidding incentives in the bankruptcy context. *In re O’Brien*, 181 F.3d 527; *see also Reliant Energy Channelview LP v. Kelson Channelview LLC (In re Reliant Energy Channelview LP)*, 594 F.3d 200, 206–08 (3d Cir. 2010). The court in *O’Brien* held that even though bidding incentives are measured against a business judgment standard in non-bankruptcy transactions, the administrative expense provisions of Bankruptcy Code section 503(b) govern in the bankruptcy context. Accordingly, to be approved, bidding incentives must provide some post-petition benefit to the debtor’s estate. *See In re O’Brien*, 188 F.3d at 533.

56. The Debtors believe that the Bid Protections increase the likelihood of a sale to a contractually committed bidder at a price the Debtors believe is fair, while providing the Debtors with an opportunity to enhance the value to their estate through an auction process. Without the Bid Protections, a Stalking Horse Bidder may not be willing to enter into a Purchase Agreement. The Bid Protections will be negotiated in good faith. Further, the amount of the Bid Protections will be reasonable and appropriate in light of the size and nature of the Sale Transaction and the efforts that likely will be expended by a Stalking Horse Bidder. Payment of the Bid Protections also will not diminish the value of Debtors’ estates, as the Debtors do not intend to terminate the Purchase Agreement and pay the Bid Protections, unless doing so would permit the Debtors to accept a better Bid.

57. This Court has approved protections similar to the proposed Bid Protections as reasonable and consistent with the type and range of Bid Protections typically approved. *See, e.g., In re Cal Dive Int'l, Inc.*, No. 15-10458 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. July 7, 2015) [D.I. 572] (authorizing expense reimbursement); *In re Old FOH Inc. (f/k/a/ Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc.)*, No. 15-10836 (KG) (May 6, 2015) [D.I. 120] (authorizing a termination fee and expense reimbursement); *In re Caché Inc.*, No. 15-10172 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 25, 2015) [D.I. 196] (authorizing expense reimbursement and break-up fee); *In re Deb Stores Holding LLC*, No. 14-12676 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. Dec. 18, 2014) [D.I. 159] (same); *In re Source Home Entm't, LLC*, No. 14-11553 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. July 1, 2014) [D.I. 160] (authorizing expense reimbursement); *In re Coldwater Creek, Inc.*, No. 14-10867 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Apr. 29, 2014) [D.I. 266] (approving expense reimbursement and break-up fee); *In re Brookstone Holdings Corp.*, No. 14-10752 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Apr. 25, 2014) [D.I. 241] (same); *In re LMI Legacy Holdings Inc. fdba Landauer Healthcare Holdings*, No. 13-12098 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 12, 2013) [D.I. 143] (same).

C. Approval of the Sale Is Warranted under Bankruptcy Code Section 363(b).

58. As set forth above, a debtor may be authorized to sell assets out of the ordinary course of business pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363 and before obtaining a confirmed plan of reorganization when it demonstrates a sound business purpose for doing so. *See In re Abbotts Dairies of Pennsylvania, Inc.*, 788 F.2d 143, 147-49 (3d Cir. 1986) (implicitly adopting the “sound business judgment test” of *Lionel*); *In re Dura Auto. Sys., Inc.*, No. 06-11202 (KJC), 2007 WL 7728109, at *5 (Bankr. D. Del. Aug. 15, 2007) (finding that “[t]he Debtors have demonstrated compelling circumstances and a good, sufficient, and sound business purpose and justification for the Sale prior to, and outside of, a plan of reorganization”); *Dai-Ichi Kangyo Bank Ltd. v. Montgomery Ward Holding Corp. (In re Montgomery Ward Holding Corp.)*,

242 B.R. 147, 153 (D. Del. 1999) (“In determining whether to authorize the use, sale or lease of property of the estate under [section 363(b)], courts require the debtor to show that a sound business purpose justifies such actions”); *Delaware & Hudson Ry. Co.*, 124 B.R. at 176 (sale of substantially all of debtor’s assets outside of reorganization plan is appropriate when a sound business reason justifies such a sale); *Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.)*, 722 F.2d 1063, 1070 (2d Cir. 1983) (concluding that “there must be some articulated business justification, other than appeasement of major creditors, for using, selling or leasing property out of the ordinary course of business before the bankruptcy judge may order such disposition under section 363(b)”); *Titusville Country Club v. Pennbank (In re Titusville Country Club)*, 128 B.R. 396, 399 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1991) (stating that the “sound business purpose test” is appropriate). Once a court has determined there is a sound business justification for a sale outside of a plan, the court must also determine that (i) the trustee has provided the interested parties with adequate and reasonable notice, (ii) the sale price is fair and reasonable and (iii) the purchaser is proceeding in good faith. *Delaware & Hudson*, 124 B.R. at 176.

59. The Debtors submit that the sale of the Assets is based upon their sound business judgment. As explained above, the Debtors have determined that a sale, conducted in accordance with the Bidding Procedures, is in the best interest of their estates. Despite their best efforts, the Debtors have not obtained sufficient support for a chapter 11 plan that the Debtors believe is confirmable at this time. As a result, and cognizant of their current Monthly Cash Outlay, continued use of cash collateral, the depletion of their assets through normal operations, and their fiduciary obligation to maximize distributable value for all creditors, the Debtors determined to seek approval of a sale and marketing process for their Assets. The Debtors believe that commencing a sale process at this time will allow them to obtain the highest market

value available for the Assets and set a path to a chapter 11 exit (and resultant cessation of cash outlay) while preserving the Debtors' right to exercise their fiduciary duties should a value-maximizing alternative materialize.

60. The Debtors also meet the additional requirements necessary to approve a sale under Bankruptcy Code section 363. As stated herein, the Debtors will provide adequate notice of the Sale to interested parties, and the Debtors believe that the notice procedures described herein are reasonable and adequate under the circumstances. Additionally, the Debtors will enter into a Purchase Agreement only after a substantial and deliberate effort to market the Assets and if the sale price is fair and reasonable. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that it is a valid exercise of their business judgment to seek the relief requested by this motion.

D. The Proposed Sale Transaction Satisfies the Requirements of Bankruptcy Code Section 363(f) for a Sale Free and Clear of Interests.

61. Bankruptcy Code section 363(f) provides that a sale of encumbered property "free and clear of any interest" is permissible, only if "applicable non-bankruptcy law permits sale of such property free and clear of such interest," entities holding interests in the property consent to the sale, "such interest is a lien and the price at which such property is to be sold is greater than the aggregate value of all liens on such property, such interest is in bona fide dispute, *or* such entity could be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of such interest." 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) (emphasis supplied).

62. Bankruptcy Code section 363(f) is drafted in the disjunctive and, as such, it is necessary to meet only one of these five conditions. To the extent an entity with liens on the Assets does not consent to the proposed Sale Transaction, the Debtors believe that they will be able to demonstrate at the Sale Hearing satisfaction of the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 363(f). Alternatively, the Debtors may sell the Assets free and clear of any other

interests under section 363(f)(5), because the liens on any Assets sold will attach to the proceeds of such a sale and entities holding such interests could be compelled to accept money satisfaction in legal or equitable proceedings. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363, the Debtors may sell the Assets free and clear of all liens, claims, and encumbrances.

63. The Debtors also submit that it is appropriate to sell the Assets free and clear of successor liability relating to the Debtors' business. Such a provision ensures that the Successful Bidder is protected from any claims or lawsuits premised on the theory that the Successful Bidder is a successor in interest to one or more of the Debtors. Courts have consistently held that a buyer of a debtor's assets pursuant to a Bankruptcy Code section 363 sale takes free and clear from successor liability relating to the debtor's business. *See, e.g., United States v. Knox-Schillinger (In re Trans World Airlines, Inc.)*, 322 F.3d 283, 288-90 (3d Cir. 2003) (sale of assets pursuant to section 363(f) barred successor liability claims for employment discrimination and rights under travel voucher program); *United Mine Workers of Am. 1992 Benefit Plan v. Leckie Smokeless Coal. Co. (In re Leckie Smokeless Coal Co.)*, 99 F.3d 573, 585-86 (4th Cir. 1996) (affirming the sale of debtors' assets free and clear of certain taxes); *Amphenol Corp. v. Shandler (In re Insilco Techs., Inc.)*, 351 B.R. 313, 321-22 (Bankr. D. Del. 2006) (stating that a 363 sale permits a buyer to take ownership of property without concern that a creditor will file suit based on a successor liability theory); *In re General Motors Corp.*, 407 B.R. 463, 505-06 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009), *aff'd sub nom., In re Motors Liquidation Corp.*, 428 B.R. 43 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) & 430 B.R. 65 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) (holding that "[T]he law in this Circuit and District is clear; the Court will permit GM's assets to pass to the purchaser free and clear of successor liability claims, and in that connection, will issue the requested findings and associated injunction."); *In re Chrysler LLC*, 405 B.R. 84, 111 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009) ("[I]n

personam claims, including any potential state successor or transferee liability claims against New Chrysler, as well as *in rem* interests, are encompassed by section 363(f) and are therefore extinguished by the Sale Transaction.”).

64. The purpose of an order purporting to authorize the transfer of assets free and clear of all liens, claims, encumbrances, and all other interests would be frustrated if claimants could thereafter use the transfer as a basis to assert claims against a purchaser arising from a seller’s pre-sale conduct. Moreover, without such assurances, the Debtors would run the risk that potential bidders may not enter the auction or, if they did, would do so with reduced bid amounts. To that end, the Successful Bidder should not be liable under any theory of successor liability relating to the Debtors’ businesses, but should hold the Assets free and clear.

E. A Successful Bidder Should Be Entitled to the Protections of Bankruptcy Code Section 363(m).

65. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(m), a good faith purchaser is one who purchases assets for value, in good faith, and without notice of adverse claims. *Mark Bell Furniture Warehouse, Inc., v. D. M. Reid Assocs., Ltd. (In re Mark Bell Furniture Warehouse, Inc.)*, 992 F.2d 7, 8 (1st Cir. 1993); *In re Willemain v. Kivitz*, 764 F.2d 1019, 1023 (4th Cir. 1985); *In re Congoleum Corp.*, No. 03-51524, 2007 WL 1428477, *2 (Bankr. D. N.J. May 11, 2007); *Abbotts Dairies of Pa.*, 788 F.2d 143, 147 (3d Cir. 1986).

66. Any agreement consummating a Sale or Sales will be negotiated at arm’s-length by sophisticated parties, each represented by their own advisors. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the Sale Order (or Sale Orders) include a provision that the Successful Bidder (or Successful Bidders) for the Assets, is a “good faith” purchaser within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code section 363(m). The Debtors believe that providing any Successful Bidder with such

protection will ensure that the maximum price will be received by the Debtors for the Assets and closing of the same will occur promptly.

F. Notice of the Auction and Sale Hearing Is Reasonable and Appropriate.

67. The Debtors submit that the Sale Notice as set forth above, along with publication of the Sale Notice as described herein above on one occasion on the Mailing Date or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter (and in local publications of general circulation as the Debtors deem appropriate) is reasonable and appropriate and will be adequate to ensure that all interested parties have the opportunity to bid for the Assets, and/or object to the proposed sale of the Debtors' Assets. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that the foregoing method of notice is reasonable under the circumstances.

G. Assumption and Assignment of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases Should Be Authorized.

68. Bankruptcy Code section 365(a) provides, in pertinent part, that a debtor in possession "subject to the court's approval, may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a). The standard governing a debtor's decision to assume or reject an executory contract or unexpired lease is whether the debtor's reasonable business judgment supports assumption or rejection. *See, e.g., In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.*, 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (debtor's decision to assume or reject executory contract is governed by business judgment standard and can only be overturned if decision was product of bad faith, whim, or caprice); *In re Market Square Inn, Inc.*, 978 F.2d 116, 121 (3d Cir. 1992) (assumption or rejection of lease "will be a matter of business judgment by the bankruptcy court" (citation omitted)); *Sharon Steel Corp. v. Nat'l Fuel Gas Distrib. Corp.*, 872 F.2d 36, 39-40 (3d Cir. 1989) (same). If the debtor's business judgment has been reasonably exercised, a court should approve the assumption or rejection of an unexpired lease or executory contract.

See Grp. of Inst. Investors v. Chicago M. St. P. & P.R.R. Co., 318 U.S. 523 (1943); *Sharon Steel Corp. v. Nat'l Fuel Gas Distrib. Corp.*, 872 F.2d at 39-40. The business judgment test “requires only that the trustee [or debtor in possession] demonstrate that [assumption or] rejection of the contract will benefit the estate.” *Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp. v. West Penn Power Co.*, (*In re Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp.*), 72 B.R. 845, 846 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1987) (quoting *In re Stable Mews Assocs.*, 41 B.R. 594, 596 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1984)). Any more exacting scrutiny would slow the administration of a debtor’s estate, increase costs, interfere with the Bankruptcy Code’s provision for private control of administration of the estate, and threaten the court’s ability to control a case impartially. *See Richmond Leasing Co. v. Capital Bank, N.A.*, 762 F.2d 1303, 1311 (5th Cir. 1985) (citation omitted). In addition, for a debtor to assume an executory contract, it must “cure, or provide adequate assurance that the debtor will promptly cure,” any default, including compensation for any “actual pecuniary loss” relating to such default. 11 U.S.C. § 365(b)(1)(A).

69. Once an executory contract is assumed, the trustee or debtor in possession may elect to assign such contract. *See L.R.S.C. Co. v. Rickel Home Ctrs., Inc. (In re Rickel Home Ctr., Inc.)*, 209 F.3d 291, 299 (3d Cir. 2000) (indicating that “[t]he Code generally favors free assignability as a means to maximize the value of the debtor’s estate”); *Leonard v. General Motors Corp. (In re Headquarters Dodge, Inc.)*, 13 F.3d 674, 682 (3d Cir. 1994) (noting that purpose of Bankruptcy Code section 365(f) is to assist trustee in realizing the full value of the debtor’s assets).

70. Bankruptcy Code section 365(f) provides that the “trustee may assign an executory contract . . . only if the trustee assumes such contract . . . and adequate assurance of future performance is provided.” 11 U.S.C. § 365(f)(2). The meaning of “adequate assurance of

future performance” depends on the facts and circumstances of each case, but should be given a “practical, pragmatic construction.” *In re DBSI, Inc.*, 405 B.R. 698, 708 (Bankr. D. Del. 2009); *EBG Midtown S. Corp. v. McLaren/Hart Env. Eng’g Corp. (In re Sanshoe Worldwide Corp.)*, 139 B.R. 585, 592 (S.D.N.Y. 1992); *In re Decora Indus.*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27031, at * 23 (D. Del. 2002) (“adequate assurance falls short of an absolute guaranty of payment” (citation omitted)); *Carlisle Homes, Inc. v. Azzari (In re Carlisle Homes, Inc.)*, 103 B.R. 524, 538 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1988).

71. Adequate assurance may be provided by demonstrating the assignee’s financial health and experience in managing the type of enterprise or property assigned. *See, e.g., In re Bygraph, Inc.*, 56 B.R. 596, 605-06 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986) (adequate assurance is present when prospective assignee of lease from debtor has financial resources and has expressed willingness to devote sufficient funding to business to give it strong likelihood of succeeding).

72. To facilitate and effect the proposed Sale Transaction, the Debtors request approval of the assumption and assignment of any Acquired Contracts to any Successful Bidder under Bankruptcy Code section 365. The Debtors further request that the Sale Order(s) provide that the Acquired Contracts will be transferred to, and remain in full force and effect for the benefit of, the Successful Bidder(s) notwithstanding any provisions in the Acquired Contracts, including those described in Bankruptcy Code sections 365(b)(2) and (f)(1) and (3) that prohibit such assignment.

73. Any Successful Bidder must (i) submit evidence sufficient to demonstrate its financial wherewithal and ability to consummate the Sale Transaction, including the assumption of the Acquired Contracts at the closing of the Asset Purchase Agreement and (ii) provide adequate assurance of future performance in connection with any assigned executory contracts

and leases. Furthermore, to the extent that any defaults exist under any executory contract or unexpired lease that is to be assumed and assigned in connection with the sale of the Assets, the Successful Bidder will cure any such default prior to such assumption and assignment.

74. The Debtors will present facts at the Sale Hearing to demonstrate the financial credibility, willingness, and ability of the Successful Bidder to perform under the Acquired Contracts. The Sale Hearing thus will afford the Court and other interested parties the opportunity to evaluate the ability of the Successful Bidder to provide adequate assurance of future performance under the Acquired Contracts, as required under Bankruptcy Code section 365(b)(1)(C). Further, as set forth above, the Debtors will give notice to all parties to the Acquired Contracts or the Previously Omitted Contracts of their intention to assume the Acquired Contracts or the Previously Omitted Contracts, as the case may be, and what the Debtors believe are the Cure Amounts.

75. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that implementation of the proposed Assumption and Assignment Procedures is appropriate in these cases. The Court therefore should have a sufficient basis to authorize the Debtors to reject or assume and assign contracts as will be set forth in the Successful Bidder's Asset Purchase Agreement.

H. Request for Relief Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 6004(h) and 6006(d)

76. Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h) provides that an "order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property . . . is stayed until the expiration of 14 days after entry of the order, unless the court orders otherwise." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004(h). Bankruptcy Rule 6006(d) further provides that an "order authorizing the trustee to assign an executory contract or unexpired lease under § 365(f) is stayed until the expiration of 14 days after the entry of the order, unless the court orders otherwise." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6006(d).

77. The Debtors believe that any Sale Transaction should be consummated as soon as practicable to preserve and maximize value. Accordingly, the Debtors request that any Sale Order approving the sale of the Assets and the assumption and assignment of the Designated Contracts be effective immediately upon entry of such order and that the fourteen-day stay under Bankruptcy Rules 6004(h) and 6006(d) be waived.

NOTICE

78. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Debtors' chapter 11 cases. The Debtors have provided notice of this motion to (i) the U.S. Trustee, Attn: Jane Leamy, Esq.; (ii) counsel to the Committee; (iii) counsel to the agents under the Debtors' pre-petition credit facilities; (iv) counsel to the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders; (v) counsel to the indenture trustees under the Debtors' pre-petition indentures; (vi) the SEC; (vii) the Internal Revenue Service; and (viii) any parties entitled to notice pursuant to Local Rule 2002-1(b). In light of the nature of the relief requested in this motion, the Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is necessary.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court (i) enter the Bidding Procedures Order, (iii) after the Sale Hearing, enter the Sale Order or Sale Orders and (iii) grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Wilmington, Delaware
Date: September 17, 2015

/s/ Amanda R. Steele

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

Paul N. Heath (DE 3704)
Amanda R. Steele (DE 5530)
Rachel L. Biblo (DE 6012)
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 651-7700
Facsimile: (302) 651-7701

– and –

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah Link Schultz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Travis A. McRoberts (DE 5274)
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: (214) 969-2800
Facsimile: (214) 969-4343

Ashleigh L. Blaylock (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Robert S. Strauss Building
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-1564
Telephone: (202) 887-4000
Facsimile: (202) 887-4288

**COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN
POSSESSION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

)	
In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
Quicksilver Resources Inc., <u>et al.</u> , ¹)	Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)
)	
Debtors.)	
)	
)	Bid Proc. Hearing: Oct. 6, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (EDT)
)	Bid Proc. Obj. Deadline: Sep. 29, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (EDT)
)	

NOTICE OF MOTION AND HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on September 17, 2015 the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”) filed the *Debtors’ Motion for (I) an Order Establishing Bidding Procedures and Granting Related Relief and (II) an Order or Orders Approving the Sale of the Assets* (the “Motion”) with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to the Motion, the Debtors are seeking entry of an order (the “Bidding Procedures Order”), (i) approving the proposed bidding procedures (the “Bidding Procedures”) by which the Debtors will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the “Assets”) through one or more sales of the Assets (each, a “Sale Transaction” or “Sale”); (ii) establishing procedures for the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases,

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. (“Quicksilver”) [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors’ address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

including notice of proposed cure amounts (the “Assumption and Assignment Procedures”); (iii) approving the form and manner of notice with respect to certain procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described herein and attached hereto; (iv) scheduling (a) a hearing (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”), on expedited notice, to approve the Debtors’ selection of one or more stalking horse bidders (each a “Stalking Horse Bidder”), if any, and the provision of Bid Protections (as defined in the Motion) to such Stalking Horse Bidder, if necessary; (b) an auction (the “Auction”) if the Debtors receive two or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids (as defined in the Motion); and (c) a final hearing (the “Sale Hearing”) to approve one or more Sales of the Assets; and (v) granting related relief. The Debtors further request that, at the Sale Hearing, the Bankruptcy Court enter an order or orders (each, a “Sale Order”), which will be filed before the Sale Hearing, (i) authorizing the sale of the Assets free and clear of liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances (collectively, the “Interests”); (ii) authorizing the assumption and assignment of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases; and (iii) granting related relief.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a hearing to consider entry of the **Bidding Procedures Order only** will be held before The Honorable Laurie Selber Silverstein, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Delaware, at the Bankruptcy Court, 824 N. Market Street, 6th Floor, Courtroom 2, Wilmington, Delaware 19081 on **October 6, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (EDT)**.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses or objections to the entry of the **Bidding Procedures Order only** must be in writing, filed with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court, 824 N. Market Street, 3rd Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, and served upon and received by the undersigned counsel for the Debtors on or before **September 29, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (EDT)**.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the remaining relief requested in the Motion, including, among other things, entry of a Sale Order, shall be considered at the Sale Hearing, to be scheduled by the Bankruptcy Court upon its entry of the Bidding Procedures Order.

IF NO OBJECTIONS TO ENTRY OF THE BIDDING PROCEDURES ORDER ARE TIMELY FILED, SERVED AND RECEIVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE BANKRUPTCY COURT MAY ENTER SUCH ORDER WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR HEARING.

Wilmington, Delaware
Date: September 17, 2015

/s/ Amanda R. Steele

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

Paul N. Heath (DE 3704)
Amanda R. Steele (DE 5530)
Rachel L. Biblo (DE 6012)
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 651-7700
Facsimile: (302) 651-7701

– and –

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah Link Schultz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah J. Crow (*pro hac vice* admission pending)
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: (214) 969-2800
Facsimile: (214) 969-4343

Ashleigh L. Blaylock (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Robert S. Strauss Building
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-1564
Telephone: (202) 887-4000
Facsimile: (202) 887-4288

**COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN
POSSESSION**

Exhibit A

Bidding Procedures Order

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
Quicksilver Resources Inc., <u>et al.</u> , ¹)	Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	

**ORDER ESTABLISHING BIDDING PROCEDURES RELATING
TO THE SALES OF ALL OR A PORTION OF THE DEBTORS' ASSETS**

Upon consideration of the motion of the debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “Debtors”)² seeking entry of this order (the “Bidding Procedures Order”) (i) approving the proposed auction and bidding procedures attached hereto as **Schedule 1** (the “Bidding Procedures”) by which the Debtors will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the “Assets”) through one or more sales of the Assets (each, a “Sale Transaction” or “Sale”); (ii) establishing procedures for the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases, including notice of proposed cure amounts (the “Assumption and Assignment Procedures”); (iii) approving the form and manner of notice of all procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described in the motion and attached thereto; (iv) scheduling (a) a hearing (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”), on expedited notice, to approve the Debtors’ selection of one or more stalking horse bidders (each, a “Stalking Horse Bidder”), if any, and the provision of Bid

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. (“Quicksilver”) [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors’ address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

² Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the motion or the Bidding Procedures, as applicable.

Protections to such Stalking Horse Bidder(s), if necessary; (b) a date for an auction if the Debtors receive one or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids (the “Auction”); and (c) a final hearing (the “Sale Hearing”) to approve one or more Sales of the Assets; and (v) granting related relief, all as more fully described in the motion; and it appearing that the relief requested is in the best interests of the Debtors’ estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and it appearing that the motion is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157; and adequate notice of the motion and opportunity for objection having been given, with no objections having been filed, or all objections having been resolved or overruled, as the case may be; and it appearing that no other notice need be given; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:

I. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). The predicates for the relief granted herein are Bankruptcy Code sections 105, 363, and 365 and Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 6004, and 6006. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

II. The legal and factual bases set forth in the motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein. Entry of this Bidding Procedures Order is in the best interests of the Debtors and their respective estates, creditors, and all other parties-in-interest.

III. The notice of the motion, the Bidding Procedures Hearing, and the proposed entry of this Bidding Procedures Order was adequate and sufficient under the circumstances of these chapter 11 cases, and such notice complied with all applicable requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and the Local Rules. Accordingly, no further notice of the motion, the Bidding Procedures Hearing, or this Bidding Procedures Order is necessary or required.

IV. The Debtors have demonstrated a compelling and sound business justification for the Court to grant the relief requested in the motion, including, without limitation, to (i) approve the Bidding Procedures, including the procedures for selecting one or more Stalking Horse Purchasers; (ii) establish the Assumption and Assignment Procedures, (iii) approve the form and manner of notice of all procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described in the motion and attached thereto, and (iv) schedule a date for the (a) Stalking Horse Hearing, if necessary; (b) Auction; and (c) Sale Hearing; and (v) grant related relief as set forth herein. Such compelling and sound business justification, which was set forth in the motion and on the record at the Bidding Procedures Hearing, are incorporated herein by reference and, among other things, form the basis for the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth herein.

V. All objections to the relief requested in the motion that have not been withdrawn, waived, or settled as announced to the Court at the Bidding Procedures Hearing or by stipulation filed with the Court are overruled except as otherwise set forth herein.

VI. The Bidding Procedures, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Schedule 1** and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in this Bidding Procedures Order, are fair, reasonable and appropriate and represent the best method for maximizing the value of the Debtors' estates.

VII. The Sale Notice and the Publication Notice, substantially in the forms attached to the motion as **Exhibit C** and **Exhibit D**, respectively, and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in this Bidding Procedures Order, are appropriate and reasonably calculated to provide all interested parties with timely and proper notice of the sale of Assets, including the sale of Assets free and clear of all liens, claims, and encumbrances, the Sale Transaction(s), the Bidding Procedures, the Auction and the Sale Hearing, and no other or further notice is required.

VIII. The Post-Auction Notice, substantially in the form attached to the motion as **Exhibit E** and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in this Bidding Procedures Order, is appropriate and reasonably calculated to provide all interested parties with timely and proper notice of the Successful Bidder(s), and no other or further notice is required.

IX. The Assumption and Assignment Notice, substantially in the form attached to the motion as **Exhibit F** and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in this Bidding Procedures Order, is appropriate and reasonably calculated to provide all interested parties with timely and proper notice of the potential assumption and assignment of the Designated Contracts in connection with the sale of the Assets and the related Cure Costs, and no other or further notice is required.

X. The findings of fact and conclusions of law herein constitute the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law for the purposes of Bankruptcy Rule 7052, made applicable pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9014. To the extent any findings of facts are conclusions of law, they are adopted as such. To the extent any conclusions of law are findings of fact, they are adopted as such.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The motion is granted as set forth herein.³

A. The Timeline for the Sale

2. The Debtors are authorized to proceed with the Sale Transaction(s) in accordance with the Bidding Procedures and are authorized to take any and all actions reasonably necessary or appropriate to implement the Bidding Procedures in accordance with the following timeline:

³ Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the consummation of any Sale Transaction(s) is subject to entry of the Sale Order(s).

November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Bid Deadline
December 2, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Sale Objection Deadline
December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time)	Auction
December 11, 2015 at 12:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Reply Deadline
December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Sale Hearing

3. For the avoidance of doubt, the Debtors reserve the right, and are authorized to, modify the above timeline and the Bidding Procedures (the “Modifications”) in accordance with the provisions of the Bidding Procedures; *provided, however*, that the Debtors shall consult with the Consultation Parties or, to the extent provided therein, the Bid Consultation Parties, with respect to any Modifications.

B. The Bidding Procedures

4. The Bidding Procedures are approved in their entirety. The Debtors are authorized to take any and all actions reasonably necessary or appropriate to implement the Bidding Procedures in accordance therewith. The failure to specifically include or reference a particular provision of the Bidding Procedures in this Order shall not diminish or impair the effectiveness of such provision.

5. The Debtors are authorized, in accordance with the Bidding Procedures, to require Diligence Parties to submit written indications of interest specifying, among other things, the Assets proposed to be acquired, the amount and type consideration to be offered, and any other material terms to be included in a bid by such party.

6. The process and requirements associated with submitting a Qualified Bid are approved as fair, reasonable, appropriate and designed to maximize recoveries for the benefit of the Debtors' estates, creditors, and other parties in interest. As further described in the Bidding Procedures, the Bid Deadline shall be November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time). Any disputes or objections to the selection of Qualified Bid(s), Successful Bid(s), or Backup Bid(s) (all as defined in the Bidding Procedures) shall be resolved by this Court at the Sale Hearing as set forth herein.

7. The Debtors are authorized to conduct the Auction in accordance with the Bidding Procedures. The Auction shall take place on December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time) at the offices of counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 4100, Dallas, Texas 75201, or at such other place and time as the Debtors shall notify all Qualified Bidders and the Consultation Parties.

8. The Second Lien Parties shall have the right, subject in all respects to the Bankruptcy Code and other applicable law, to credit bid all or any portion of their allowed secured claims pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(k) or other applicable law, in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Second Lien Secured Debt Documents and the Intercreditor Agreement, and any such credit bid shall be deemed a Qualified Bid to the extent it otherwise complies with the requirements set forth in the Bidding Procedures under the heading "Auction Qualification Process," the Intercreditor Agreement, and the Bankruptcy Code; *provided; however*, that nothing herein or in the Bidding Procedures shall affect or in any way limit the right or ability of any party in interest, including the Committee, to object to the Second Lien Parties' right to credit bid, including the nature, amount, or scope of such credit bid, subject to the (a) applicable provisions of the *Final Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 361, 362, 363 and*

507, and Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 4001 and 9014 (I) Authorizing Debtors to Use Cash Collateral and (II) Granting Adequate Protection to Prepetition Secured Parties [D.I. 307], including paragraph 22 and the Challenge Period (as defined therein), as the same may be modified in accordance with its terms and (b) Sale Objection Deadline (as defined below).

C. Stalking Horse Bidder, Related Bidding Protections and Purchase Agreement

9. In accordance with the Bidding Procedures, at any time before December 1, 2015, the Debtors may enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, subject to higher or otherwise better offers at the Auction, with any Stalking Horse Bidder that submits a Qualified Bid acceptable to the Debtors to establish a minimum Qualified Bid at the Auction.

10. The Stalking Horse Agreement may contain certain customary terms and conditions, including expense reimbursement and/or a break-up fee in favor of the Stalking Horse Bidder (the “Bid Protections”) in amounts to be determined by the Debtors in accordance with the Bidding Procedures.

11. To the extent the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, the Debtors shall seek expedited approval of their entry into such agreement and any Bid Protections included therein together with the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder, on no less than seven (7) days’ notice (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”). The Debtors shall serve notice of the Stalking Horse Agreement and the Stalking Horse Hearing on all parties on the Rule 2002 Notice List, all parties expressing interest in the Assets and all parties holding liens on the Assets (each, a “Stalking Horse Notice”). Notwithstanding anything herein or in the Bidding Procedures to the contrary, all parties in interest shall have the right, at any time before the start of the Stalking Horse Hearing, to object to the designation of a Stalking Horse Bidder, the provision of Bid Protections to such Stalking

Horse Bidder and the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder.

12. Each Stalking Horse Notice shall include (i) the identity of the proposed Stalking Horse Bidder, (ii) a summary of the key terms of the Stalking Horse Agreement, (iii) a summary of the type and amount of Bid Protections, if any, proposed to be afforded to the Stalking Horse Bidder, and (iv) a copy of the Stalking Horse Agreement.

D. Notice Procedures

13. The form of Sale Notice substantially in the form attached to the motion as **Exhibit F** is approved.

14. Within seven (7) days after the entry of this Order or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, the Debtors shall serve the Sale Notice, the Purchase Agreement, this Order, including the Bidding Procedures by first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon (i) all entities known to have expressed an interest in a transaction with respect to all or part of the Assets within the past two years; (ii) all entities known to have asserted any lien, claim, interest, or encumbrance in or upon any of the Assets; (iii) counsel for the Committee; (iv) counsel for the First Lien Agent; (v) counsel for the Second Lien Agent; (vi) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee; (vii) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders; and (viii) the U.S. Trustee.

15. In addition, within seven (7) days after the entry of this Order or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, the Debtors shall serve the Sale Notice by first-class mail, postage prepaid, or, for those parties who have consented to received notice by the Electronic Case Files (“ECF”) system, by ECF, upon (i) all federal, state, and local regulatory or taxing authorities or recording offices which have a reasonably known interest in the relief granted herein; (ii) the United States Attorney’s offices for the District of Delaware and the Northern

District of Texas; (iii) the SEC; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) all parties on the Rule 2002 Notice List; (vi) all known creditors of the Debtors; and (vii) all registered holders of equity securities in Quicksilver.

16. Service of the Sale Notice as described above shall be sufficient and proper notice of the Sale Transaction with respect to known interested parties.

17. The Publication Notice, substantially in the form attached to the motion as **Exhibit D**, is approved. The Debtors are directed to publish the Sale Notice, as modified for publication, in the *New York Times*, the *Ft. Worth Star Telegram*, and *Platts Gas Daily* on one occasion on the Mailing Date or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter. In addition, the Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to (i) publish the Sale Notice in additional publications as the Debtors deem appropriate and (ii) cause the Sale Notice to be posted on their case information website at <http://cases.gcginc.com/kwk>.

18. Service of the Publication Notice as described above shall be sufficient and proper notice of the Sale Transaction with respect to all unknown parties.

19. The form of the Post-Auction Notice, substantially in the form attached to the motion as **Exhibit E** is approved. As soon as reasonably practicable after the conclusion of the Auction, the Debtors shall file on the docket, but not serve, the Post-Auction Notice identifying any Successful Bidder(s).

E. Assumption and Assignment Procedures

20. The Assumption and Assignment Procedures, as detailed in the motion and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in this Bidding Procedures Order, are approved.

21. The Notice of Assumption and Assignment, substantially in the form attached to the motion as **Exhibit F** is approved.

22. On or before November 16, 2015 (any such date, the “Assumption and Assignment Service Date”), the Debtors shall file with the Court, and post on the Case Website at <http://cases.gcginc.com/kwk/>, the Notice of Assumption and Assignment and Designated Contracts List. If no Cure Cost is listed on the Designated Contracts List, the Debtors believe that there is no Cure Cost, as of the date of such notice. On the Assumption and Assignment Service Date, the Debtors shall serve, via first-class mail, a customized version of the Notice of Assumption and Assignment that contains the DCL Instructions and Necessary Notice Information, but omits the Designated Contracts List, on all counterparties to the Designated Contracts. In addition, the Debtors shall serve, via first-class mail, a modified version of the Notice of Assumption and Assignment that contains the DCL Instructions and Necessary Notice Information, but omits the Designated Contracts List on all parties on the Rule 2002 Notice List. Service of such Notice of Assumption and Assignment as set forth herein shall be deemed proper, due, timely, good and sufficient notice of, among other things, the proposed assumption and assignment of the Designated Contracts and rights thereunder, the Cure Costs, and the procedures for objecting thereto, and no other or further notice is necessary.

23. Any objection by a counterparty to a Designated Contract (a “Designated Contract Objection”) must (i) be to the proposed assumption and assignment of the applicable Designated Contract or Cure Costs, if any; (ii) state, with specificity, the legal and factual basis thereof as well as what Cure Costs such objecting party believes are required, if any; and (iii) include appropriate documentation in support thereof. All Designated Contract Objections must be filed and served on (i) the Debtors, Quicksilver Resources Inc., 801 Cherry St., Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, TX 76102, Attn: Vanessa Gomez LaGatta, CFO; (ii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX

75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq.; (iii) co-counsel to the Debtors, Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A., One Rodney Square, 920 North King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Paul N. Heath, Esq., and Amanda R. Steele, Esq.; (iv) co-counsel for the Committee, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, Attn: Andrew N. Rosenberg, Esq. and Elizabeth McColm, Esq.; (v) co-counsel for the Committee, Landis Rath & Cobb LLP, 919 Market Street, Suite 1800, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Richard S. Cobb, Esq. and Matthew McGuire, Esq.; (vi) counsel for the First Lien Agent, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attn: Steven M. Fuhrman, Esq.; (vii) counsel for the Second Lien Agent, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10022, Attn: Mitchell A. Seider, Esq. and David Hammerman, Esq.; (viii) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee, Emmet, Marvin & Martin LLP, 120 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10271, Attn: Edward P. Zujkowski, Esq. and Thomas Pitta, Esq.; (ix) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 28 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq. and Samuel A. Khalil, Esq.; (x) the U.S. Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Jane Leamy, Esq.; (xi) if applicable, counsel to any Stalking Horse Bidder(s); and (xii) all parties that have requested notice in these chapter 11 cases (collectively (i) – (xii), the “Objection Recipients”) no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) fourteen days following the Assumption and Assignment Service Date (the “Assumption and Assignment Objection Deadline”).

24. If a Designated Contract Objection is not consensually resolved before the Sale Hearing, the amount to be paid or reserved with respect to such objection shall be determined at

the Sale Hearing, such later hearing date that the Debtors determine in their discretion, or such other date determined by this Court.

25. Any time after the Assumption and Assignment Service Date and before the closing of a Sale Transaction, the Debtors reserve the right, and are authorized but not directed, to (i) supplement the Designated Contracts List with previously omitted Designated Contracts in accordance with the definitive agreement for a Sale Transaction, (ii) remove a Designated Contract from the list of contracts that a Successful Bidder proposes be assumed and assigned to it in connection with a Sale Transaction, or (iii) modify the previously stated Cure Cost associated with any Designated Contract.

26. In the event the Debtors exercise any of the rights listed above, the Debtors shall promptly serve the Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment by electronic transmission, hand delivery, or overnight mail on the counterparty (and its attorney, if known) to each Designated Contract listed on the Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment at the last known address available to the Debtors. Each Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment shall set forth (i) the name and address of the counterparty to the Designated Contract listed thereon; (ii) the proposed effective date of the assignment (subject to the right of the applicable Successful Bidder, if any, to withdraw such request for assumption and assignment of that Designated Contract prior to the closing of the applicable Sale Transaction); (iii) sufficient information to identify the Designated Contract; (iv) the Cure Costs, if any; and (v) proposed adequate assurance, if known on the Assumption and Assignment Service Date. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to modify the Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment as necessary and appropriate to provide customized individual notice to each Designated Contract counterparty. In addition, the Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to

supplement the Designated Contract List on the Case Website with any additional Designated Contracts as the Debtors deem appropriate in their discretion. Service of such Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment as set forth herein shall be deemed proper, due, timely, good and sufficient notice of, among other things, the proposed assumption and assignment of the Designated Contracts and rights thereunder, the Cure Costs, and the procedures for objecting thereto, and no other or further notice is necessary.

27. Any objection by a counterparty to a Designated Contract listed on a Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment (a “Supplemental Designated Contract Objection”) must (i) be to the proposed assumption and assignment of the applicable Designated Contract or the proposed Cure Costs, if any; (ii) state, with specificity, the legal and factual basis thereof as well as what Cure Costs such objecting party believes are required, if any; and (iii) include appropriate documentation in support of the objection; and (iv) be filed and served on the Objection Recipients no later than fourteen days from the date of service of such Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment.

28. If a Supplemental Designated Contract Objection is not consensually resolved by the proposed effective date of assignment of the Designated Contract that is the subject of a Supplemental Designated Contract Objection, the Debtors shall seek an expedited hearing before the Court (a “Supplemental Designated Contract Hearing”) to determine the Cure Costs, if any, and approve the assumption of the relevant Designated Contracts. If there is no such objection, then the Debtors shall obtain an order of this Court, including by filing a certification of no objection, (a “Supplemental Designated Contract Order”) fixing the Cure Costs and approving the assumption of any Designated Contract listed on a Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment.

29. Absent the filing of a Designated Contract Objection or Supplemental Designated Contract Objection and a subsequent order of the Court establishing an alternative Cure Cost, the Cure Costs, if any, set forth in the Notice of Assumption and Assignment (or Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment) shall be controlling, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in any Designated Contract or any other document, and the counterparty to the Designated Contract will be deemed to have consented to the assumption, assignment, and sale of the Designated Contract and the Cure Costs, if any, and will be forever barred from asserting any other claims related to such Designated Contract against the Debtors or the applicable Successful Bidder, or the property of any of them, except with respect to adequate assurance of future performance by such Successful Bidder. For the avoidance of doubt, any objections to a Successful Bidder's proposed form of adequate assurance of future performance must be raised at the Sale Hearing or Supplemental Designated Contract Hearing, as applicable, and will be resolved at the hearing at which it is raised or, in the Debtors' discretion, adjourned to a later hearing.

30. The inclusion of a Designated Contract on the Notice of Assumption and Assignment (or Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment) will not (a) obligate the Debtors to assume any Designated Contract listed thereon nor the Successful Bidder(s) to take assignment of such Designated Contract or (b) constitute any admission or agreement of the Debtors that such Designated Contract is an "executory" contract. Only those Designated Contracts that are included on a schedule of assumed and assigned contracts attached to the final Purchase Agreement with the Successful Bidder(s) (each, an "Acquired Contract") will be assumed and assigned to the Successful Bidder(s).

F. The Sale Hearing

31. A Sale Hearing to (i) approve a sale of a portion or substantially all of the Assets to the Successful Bidder(s) and (ii) authorize the assumption and assignment of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases shall be held on December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time), and may be adjourned or rescheduled without notice, subject to paragraph 3 of this Order. At the Sale Hearing, the Debtors will seek Bankruptcy Court approval of the Successful Bid(s) and the Back-Up Bid(s). Unless the Bankruptcy Court orders otherwise, the Sale Hearing shall be an evidentiary hearing on matters relating to the Sale Transaction(s) and there will be no further bidding at the Sale Hearing. In the event that the Successful Bidder(s) cannot or refuses to consummate the Sale(s) because of the breach or failure on the part of such Successful Bidder, the Debtors may, in accordance with the Bidding Procedures, designate the Back-Up Bid to be the new Successful Bid and the Back-Up Bidder to be the new Successful Bidder, and the Debtors shall be authorized, but not required, to consummate the applicable transaction with the Back-Up Bidder without further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

32. Any and all objections, if any, to any Sale Transaction must be filed no later than December 2, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) (the “Sale Objection Deadline”). Any and all such objections must be served on the Objection Recipients and counsel to any Successful Bidder(s), if known on the Sale Objection Deadline. All replies to such objections must be filed by December 11, 2015 at 12:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) (the “Reply Deadline”).

G. Other Provisions

33. Notwithstanding anything herein or in the Bidding Procedures to the contrary, the Debtors shall not be permitted to modify the consultation rights of the Consultation Parties or the

Bid Consultation Parties in the Bidding Procedures absent further order of this Court or the consent of any affected Consultation or Bid Consultation Parties.

34. The Debtors are authorized and empowered to take such action as may be necessary to implement and effect the terms and requirements established under this Bidding Procedures Order.

35. This Bidding Procedures Order shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the Debtors, including any chapter 7 or chapter 11 trustee or other fiduciary appointed for the estates of the Debtors.

36. This Bidding Procedures Order shall constitute the findings of fact and conclusions of law and shall take immediate effect upon execution hereof.

37. To the extent this Bidding Procedures Order is inconsistent with any prior order or pleading with respect to the Motion in these cases, the terms of this Bidding Procedures Order shall govern.

38. To the extent any of the deadlines set forth in this Bidding Procedures Order do not comply with the Local Rules, such Local Rules are waived and the terms of this Bidding Procedures Order shall govern.

39. Notwithstanding the possible applicability of Bankruptcy Rules 6004(h), 6006(d), 7062, 9014, or otherwise, this Court, for good cause shown, orders that the terms and conditions of this Bidding Procedures Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

40. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation or interpretation of this Bidding Procedures Order, including, but not limited to, any matter, claim, or dispute arising from or relating to the Bidding Procedures, any Stalking Horse Agreement, and the implementation of this Bidding Procedures Order.

Dated: _____, 2015

The Honorable Laurie Selber Silverstein
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Exhibit B

Bidding Procedures

**QUICKSILVER RESOURCES INC.
BIDDING PROCEDURES¹**

Introduction

Quicksilver Resources Inc. and its domestic subsidiaries (collectively, the “Debtors”)² are debtors in possession in chapter 11 cases (jointly administered under Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)) pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”).

By the Sale Motion, the Debtors sought approval of, among other things, the procedures (the “Bidding Procedures”) by which they will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the “Assets”) either through one sale to a Successful Bidder (as defined below) or multiple sales to multiple Successful Bidders.

On [DATE], 2015, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order (the “Bidding Procedures Order”), which, among other things, approved the Bidding Procedures set forth below pursuant to which the Debtors will solicit bids for the purchase of substantially all or a portion of their Assets. The Bidding Procedures provide that the Debtors may also consider competing bids in the form of a chapter 11 plan of reorganization, subject to the requirements set forth herein (a “Chapter 11 Plan Bid”).

Key Dates

These Bidding Procedures provide interested parties with the opportunity to complete diligence, to submit competing bids for all or a portion of the Assets, and to participate in an auction to be conducted by the Debtors (the “Auction”).

The key dates for the sale process are as follows:

November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Bid Deadline
December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time)	Auction
December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)	Sale Hearing

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the *Debtors’ Motion for (I) an Order Establishing Bidding Procedures and Granting Related Relief and (II) an Order or Orders Approving the Sale of the Assets* (the “Sale Motion”) or in the Purchase Agreement, as applicable.

² The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors’ address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

Purchase Agreement

On [DATE], 2015, the Debtors filed a form asset purchase agreement (with certain ancillary agreements thereto, the “Purchase Agreement”), a true and correct copy of which is attached as **Exhibit 1** hereto.³ Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, and to the maximum extent permitted by section 363 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), the Successful Bidder shall acquire the Assets (the “Acquired Assets”), free and clear of any and all Interests (as defined below), subject to certain other conditions.

Access to Debtors’ Diligence Materials.

To receive access to due diligence materials and to participate in the bidding process, an interested party must submit to the Debtors or already be bound by (i) an executed confidentiality agreement in form and substance satisfactory to the Debtors (which, for the avoidance of doubt, may be substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**), (ii) evidence demonstrating the party’s financial ability to consummate either (x) a sale transaction for the Assets or (y) in the case of a Chapter 11 Plan Bid, a non-taxable recapitalization transaction pursuant to a chapter 11 plan of reorganization (any such transaction whether the type set forth in (x) or (y), an “Alternate Transaction”), and (iii) a statement that such party has a bona fide interest in purchasing all or some of the Assets.

A party who, in the Debtors’ reasonable discretion, satisfies the requirements set forth in the immediately preceding sentence for receiving access to diligence materials shall be a “Diligence Party.” As promptly as practicable after the Debtors determine that a party is a Diligence Party, the Debtors will deliver to the Diligence Party access to the Debtors’ confidential electronic data room. The Debtors will afford any Diligence Party the time and opportunity to conduct reasonable due diligence before the Bid Deadline (as defined below). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Debtors reserve the right to withhold any diligence materials that the Debtors determine are sensitive or otherwise not appropriate for disclosure to a Diligence Party who the Debtors determine is a competitor of the Debtors or is affiliated with any competitor of the Debtors. Neither the Debtors nor their representatives shall be obligated to furnish information of any kind whatsoever to any person that is not determined to be a Diligence Party. The Debtors shall not exclude a party from diligence who has complied with subsections (i) and (ii) of the preceding paragraph, unless they have first consulted with the Consultation Parties (as defined below) regarding such determination.

All due diligence requests must be directed to Marcus Bellows of Houlihan Lokey at mbellows@HL.com.

Each Diligence Party and Qualified Bidder (as defined below) shall comply with all reasonable requests for additional information and due diligence access by the Debtors or their advisors regarding such Bidder and its contemplated transaction. Failure by a Diligence Party to comply with requests for additional information and due diligence access may be a basis for the Debtors to determine, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, that such bidder is not a Qualified Bidder. Failure by a Qualified Bidder to comply with requests for additional

³ The Debtors intend to file the Purchase Agreement on the docket no later than 14 days before the hearing on the Sale Motion.

information and due diligence access may be a basis for the Debtors to determine, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, that a bid made by such Qualified Bidder is not a Qualified Bid. The Debtors reserve the right, in their discretion, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, to permit a Diligence Party or Qualified Bidder to remedy any such failure to comply.

Indications of Interest

The Debtors reserve the right, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, to require Diligence Parties to submit written indications of interest specifying, among other things, the Assets proposed to be acquired, the amount and type of consideration to be offered, and any other material terms to be included in a bid by such party. A Diligence Party that fails to comply with any such request by the Debtors, in the Debtors' discretion and in consultation with the Consultation Parties, may not be provided further diligence access or be permitted to participate further in the auction process. The Debtors also reserve the right to exclude any Diligence Party (prior to its submission of a Qualified Bid) from continuing in the auction process if the Debtors determine, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, that the consideration proposed to be paid by such Diligence Party is insufficient.

Auction Qualification Process

To be eligible to participate in the Auction, each offer, solicitation, or proposal (each, a "Bid"), and each party submitting such a Bid (each, a "Bidder") must satisfy each of the conditions set forth below, as determined by the Debtors. A Bid will not be considered qualified for the Auction if such Bid does not satisfy each of the following conditions:

- (a) Good Faith Deposit: Each Bid for all or a portion of the Assets and each Chapter 11 Plan Bid must be accompanied by a deposit (a "Good Faith Deposit") submitted by wire transfer of immediately available funds to an account identified by the Debtors. Each Good Faith Deposit must equal (1) in the case of a Bid for all or a portion of the Assets, the amount of five percent (5%) of the purchase price contained in the Modified Purchase Agreement (as defined below), (2) in the case of a Chapter 11 Plan Bid, the amount of five percent (5%) of the amount of the cash payments contemplated by such Bid, or (3) for any Bid or Chapter 11 Plan Bid, such other amount as the Debtors determine, in consultation with the Consultation Parties; *provided, however*, that any party submitting a Bid primarily composed of a credit bid pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(k) shall not be required to submit a Good Faith Deposit.
- (b) Bids for Portions of the Assets: A Bid may offer to purchase all or substantially all of the Debtors' Assets or only a portion of the Assets. The Debtors may, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, waive or modify the application of the Qualified Bid conditions in respect of Bids for a portion of the Assets, including, *inter alia*, the amount of the Good Faith Deposit.
- (c) Same or Better Terms: To the extent a Stalking Horse Bidder is selected, each subsequent Bid for any Assets subject to the Stalking Horse Agreement (alone or combined with Bids for other Assets subject to the Stalking Horse Agreement) must be on terms that, in the Debtors' business judgment, in consultation with the

Bid Consultation Parties, are the same or better than the terms of the Stalking Horse Agreement.

- (d) Executed Agreement: Each Bid (other than a Chapter 11 Plan Bid) must be based on the Purchase Agreement and must include executed transaction documents, signed by an authorized representative of such Bidder, pursuant to which the Bidder proposes to effectuate an Alternate Transaction (the “Modified Purchase Agreement”). A Bid (other than a Chapter 11 Plan Bid) shall also include a copy of the Purchase Agreement marked against the Modified Purchase Agreement to show all changes requested by the Bidder (including those related to purchase price).

A Chapter 11 Plan Bid must be accompanied by an executed investment agreement, signed by an authorized representative of such Bidder, pursuant to which the Bidder proposes to effectuate an Alternate Transaction (the “Investment Agreement”). Such Investment Agreement must provide for a fully-committed investment of capital in exchange for substantially all of the equity of the reorganized Debtors in a non-taxable recapitalization transaction effectuated pursuant to a chapter 11 plan of reorganization.

- (e) Designation of Assigned Contracts and Leases, Payment of Cure Amounts: A Bid must identify any and all executory contracts and unexpired leases of the Debtors that the Bidder wishes to have assumed and, with respect to any Bid that is not a Chapter 11 Plan Bid, assigned to it at closing and provide for the payment of all cure amounts payable with respect to such contracts and leases under the Bankruptcy Code.
- (f) Corporate Authority: A Bid must include written evidence reasonably acceptable to the Debtors demonstrating appropriate corporate authorization to consummate the proposed Alternate Transaction, *provided* that, if the Bidder is an entity specially formed for the purpose of effectuating the Alternate Transaction, then the Bidder must furnish written evidence reasonably acceptable to the Debtors of the approval of the Alternate Transaction by the equity holder(s) of such Bidder.
- (g) Disclosure of Identity of Bidder: A Bid must fully disclose the identity of each entity that will be bidding for or purchasing the Assets or otherwise participating in connection with such Bid (including any equity holder or other financial backer if the Bidder is an entity specifically formed for the purpose of effectuating the Alternate Transaction), and the complete terms of any such participation, including any binding agreements, arrangements, or understandings concerning a collaborative or joint bid or any other combination concerning the proposed Bid.
- (h) Proof of Financial Ability to Perform: A Bid must include written evidence that the Debtors reasonably conclude, in consultation with their advisors and the Bid Consultation Parties (as defined below), demonstrates that the Bidder has the necessary financial ability to (i) close the Alternate Transaction and (ii) provide adequate assurance of future performance under all contracts to be assumed and

assigned in such Alternate Transaction. Such information must include, *inter alia*, the following:

- (1) contact names and numbers for verification of financing sources;
 - (2) evidence of the Bidder's internal resources and proof of unconditional debt funding commitments from a recognized financial institution and, if applicable, equity commitments in an aggregate amount equal to the cash portion of such Bid (including, if applicable, the Bidder's payment of cure amounts) or the posting of an irrevocable letter of credit from a recognized banking institution issued in favor of the Debtors in such amount, in each case, as are needed to close the Alternate Transaction;
 - (3) the Bidder's current financial statements (audited if they exist) or other similar financial information reasonably acceptable to the Debtors;
 - (4) a description of the Bidder's pro forma capital structure; and
 - (5) any such other form of financial disclosure or credit-quality support information or enhancement reasonably requested by the Debtors, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, demonstrating that such Bidder has the ability to close the Alternate Transaction.
- (i) Regulatory and Third Party Approvals: A Bid must set forth each regulatory and third-party approval required for the Bidder to consummate the Alternate Transaction, if any, and the time period within which the Bidder expects to receive such regulatory and third-party approvals (and in the case that receipt of any such regulatory or third-party approval is expected to take more than thirty (30) days following execution and delivery of the Modified Purchase Agreement or Investment Agreement, those actions the Bidder will take to ensure receipt of such approval(s) as promptly as possible).
- (j) Contingencies: Each Bid may not be conditioned on obtaining financing or any internal approval, or on the outcome or review of due diligence.
- (k) Irrevocable: Each Bid must expressly provide that (1) the Bidder is prepared to consummate the transaction set forth in the Modified Purchase Agreement promptly following entry of the Sale Order and satisfaction of the closing conditions (if any) set forth in the Modified Purchase Agreement, and (2) the offer reflected in such Bid shall remain open and irrevocable until the conclusion of the Auction, provided that if such Bid is accepted as the Successful Bid or the Backup Bid (each as defined herein), such Bid shall continue to remain open and irrevocable as provided under "Closing the Auction; Successful Bidder" and "Backup Bidder" below.
- (l) Purchase Price Allocation: Each Bid must specify the portion of the aggregate purchase price thereunder that is being allocated to each of the Barnett Shale Asset (and, if the Bid is for only a portion of the Barnett Shale Asset, the price being allocated to the Lake Arlington (including Village Creek), Hill County, Cowtown or Alliance assets within the Barnett Shale Asset), the West Texas

Asset, and the intercompany note between Quicksilver Resources Inc. and Quicksilver Resources Canada Inc. (the “Intercompany Note”). As used herein, “Barnett Shale Asset” and “West Texas Asset,” shall have the meanings given to them in the Form 10-K filed by Quicksilver Resources Inc. with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2014.

- (m) Bid Deadline: Each Bid must be received by each of the following parties, in writing, on or before November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) or such earlier date as may be designated by the Debtors in consultation with the Consultation Parties (the “Bid Deadline”): (1) the Debtors, Quicksilver Resources Inc., 801 Cherry St., Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, TX 76102, Attn: Glenn Darden, CEO (gdarden@qrinc.com), Vanessa Gomez LaGatta, CFO (vgomez@qrinc.com), and John Little, SAO (jolittle@deloitte.com); (2) counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. (sschultz@akingump.com) and Stephen B. Kuhn, Esq. (skuhn@akingump.com); (3) investment banker for the Debtors, Houlihan Lokey, 245 Park Avenue, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10167, Attn: John-Paul Hanson (jhanson@hl.com) and Adam Dunayer (adunayer@hl.com); (4) counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”), Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, Attn: Andrew N. Rosenberg, Esq. (arosenberg@paulweiss.com) and Elizabeth McColm, Esq. (emccolm@paulweiss.com); (5) counsel for the First Lien Agent, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attn: Steven M. Fuhrman, Esq. (sfuhrman@stblaw.com); (6) counsel for the Second Lien Agent (as defined below), Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10022, Attn: Mitchell A. Seider, Esq. (mitchell.seider@lw.com) and David Hammerman, Esq. (david.hammerman@lw.com); (7) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee, Emmet, Marvin & Martin LLP, 120 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York NY 10271, Attn: Edward P. Zujkowski, Esq. (ezujkowski@emmetmarvin.com) and Thomas Pitta, Esq. (tpitta@emmetmarvin.com); and (8) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 28 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq. (ddunne@milbank.com) and Samuel A. Khalil, Esq. (skhalil@milbank.com).

A Bid received from a Bidder on or before the Bid Deadline that meets the requirements set forth above for the applicable Assets shall constitute a “Qualified Bid” for such Assets, and such Bidder shall constitute a “Qualified Bidder” for such Assets. Notwithstanding anything else contained herein, the Second Lien Parties⁴ shall have the right, subject in all respects to the Bankruptcy Code and other applicable law, to credit bid all or any portion of their allowed secured claims pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(k) or other applicable law, in

⁴ As defined in the *Final Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 361, 362, 363 and 507, and Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 4001 and 9014 (I) Authorizing Debtors to Use Cash Collateral and (II) Granting Adequate Protection to Prepetition Secured Parties* [D.I. 307] (the “Final Cash Collateral Order”).

accordance with the applicable provisions of the Second Lien Secured Debt Documents⁵ and the Intercreditor Agreement⁶, and any such credit bid shall be deemed a Qualified Bid to the extent it otherwise complies with the requirements set forth above under the heading “Auction Qualification Process,” the Intercreditor Agreement and the Bankruptcy Code and subject to the rights of the Committee as set forth in paragraph 8 of the Bidding Procedures Order.

Stalking Horse Bids

Subject to the provisions set forth herein and in consultation with the Consultation Parties, the Debtors reserve the right, at any time before December 1, 2015, to enter into a purchase agreement (the “Stalking Horse Agreement”), subject to higher or otherwise better offers at the Auction, with any Qualified Bidder that submits a Qualified Bid (the “Stalking Horse Bidder”) acceptable to the Debtors, in consultation with the Consultation Parties, to establish a minimum Qualified Bid at the Auction. The Stalking Horse Agreement may contain certain customary terms and conditions, including expense reimbursement and a break-up fee in favor of the Stalking Horse Bidder (the “Bid Protections”) in amounts to be determined by the Debtors in consultation with the Consultation Parties. To the extent the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, the Debtors shall seek expedited approval of their entry into such agreement and any Bid Protections included therein together with the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder, on no less than seven (7) days’ notice (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”). The Debtors shall serve notice of the Stalking Horse Agreement and the Stalking Horse Hearing on all parties on the Debtors’ Rule 2002 Notice List, all parties expressing interest in the Assets and all parties holding liens on the Assets (each, a “Stalking Horse Notice”). Each Stalking Horse Notice will include (i) the identity of the proposed Stalking Horse Bidder, (ii) a summary of the key terms of the Stalking Horse Agreement, (iii) a summary of the type and amount of Bid Protections, if any, proposed to be afforded to the Stalking Horse Bidder, and (iv) a correct and complete copy of the Stalking Horse Agreement. At least five (5) days prior to the Auction, the Debtors shall distribute complete and correct copies of the Stalking Horse Agreement(s), if any, to each of the other Qualified Bidders. Notwithstanding anything herein or in the Bidding Procedures Order to the contrary, all parties in interest shall have the right, at any time before the start of the Stalking Horse Hearing, to object to the designation of a Stalking Horse Bidder, the provision of Bid Protections to such Stalking Horse Bidder and the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder.

Any Stalking Horse Agreement executed by the Debtors and the transactions contemplated thereby will be deemed a Qualified Bid for all purposes, and any Stalking Horse Bidder party to a Stalking Horse Agreement executed by the Debtors will be deemed to be Qualified Bidder.

Other than as provided by order of the Bankruptcy Court prior to entry of the Bidding Procedures Order, no party submitting a Bid shall be entitled to a break-up fee or expense reimbursement except for the Bid Protections for any Stalking Horse Bidder that are approved by the Bankruptcy Court. Any substantial contribution claims by any Bidder are deemed waived.

⁵ As defined in the Final Cash Collateral Order.

⁶ As defined in the Final Cash Collateral Order.

Highest or Otherwise Best Bid

Whenever these Bidding Procedures refer to the highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid, such determination shall take into account any factors the Debtors, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, reasonably deem relevant to the value of the Qualified Bid to the estates and may include, but are not limited to, the following (i) the amount and nature of the consideration, including any Assumed Liabilities (as defined in the Purchase Agreement); (ii) the number, type and nature of any changes to the Purchase Agreement requested by each Qualified Bidder; (iii) the extent to which such modifications are likely to delay closing of the sale of the Assets and the cost to the Debtors of such modifications or delay; (iv) the likelihood of the Qualified Bidder being able to close the proposed transaction (including obtaining any required regulatory approvals) and the timing thereof; and (v) the net benefit to the Debtors' estates (collectively, the "Bid Assessment Criteria").

Auction

If two or more Qualified Bids for the same Assets are received by the Bid Deadline, the Debtors will conduct the Auction to determine the highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid. If less than two Qualified Bids are received by the Bid Deadline with respect to any portion of the Assets, the Debtors shall not conduct the Auction with respect to such Assets. If only one Qualified Bid is received with respect to all or a portion of the Assets, the Debtors may, after consultation with the Consultation Parties, designate such Qualified Bid as a Successful Bid. Only Qualified Bidders may participate in the Auction.

Procedures for Auction

The Auction shall take place on December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time) at the offices of counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 4100, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other place and time as the Debtors shall notify all Qualified Bidders and the Consultation Parties. The Auction shall be conducted according to the following procedures:

Only the Debtors, the Consultation Parties, the Stalking Horse Bidder(s) (if any), any other Qualified Bidders, and/or other party as the Debtors may determine to include in their discretion, in each case along with their representatives and advisors, shall be entitled to attend the Auction (such attendance to be in person) and only Qualified Bidders will be entitled to make any Overbids (as defined below) at the Auction.

The Debtors Shall Conduct the Auction.

The Debtors and their advisors shall direct and preside over the Auction, which shall be transcribed. Other than as expressly set forth herein, the Debtors (in consultation with the Consultation Parties or, to the extent provided herein, the Bid Consultation Parties) may conduct the Auction in the manner they determine will result in the highest or otherwise best offer for any of the Assets. The Debtors shall use their best efforts to, at least 24 hours prior to commencement of the Auction, provide the Consultation Parties and each Qualified Bidder participating in the Auction with a copy of the Modified Purchase Agreement associated with the highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid with respect to the Assets for which such Qualified

Bidder is bidding, as determined by the Debtors in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties (such highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid, the “Auction Baseline Bid”). In the event that the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement with respect to any Assets, such Stalking Horse Agreement shall be the Auction Baseline Bid with respect to such Assets. At the start of the Auction, the Debtors shall describe the material terms of the Auction Baseline Bid and each Qualified Bidder participating in the Auction must confirm that (a) it has not engaged in any collusion with respect to the bidding or sale of any of the Assets described herein, (b) it has reviewed, understands, and accepts the Bidding Procedures, (c) it has consented to the core jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court (as described more fully below), and (d) its Qualified Bid is a good faith *bona fide* offer that it intends to consummate if selected as the Successful Bidder.

Credit Bidding by Second Lien Parties.

Notwithstanding anything else contained herein, the Second Lien Parties shall have the right, subject in all respects to the Bankruptcy Code and other applicable law, to credit bid all or any portion of their allowed secured claims at the Auction pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(k) or other applicable law, in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Second Lien Secured Debt Documents; *provided* that such Bid otherwise complies with these Bidding Procedures, the Intercreditor Agreement and the Bankruptcy Code and subject to the rights of the Committee as set forth in paragraph 8 of the Bidding Procedures Order.

Terms of Overbids.

An “Overbid” is any bid made at the Auction, in accordance with the requirements set forth herein, subsequent to the Debtors’ announcement of the respective Auction Baseline Bid. To submit an Overbid for purposes of this Auction, a Bidder must comply with the following conditions:

- (a) **Minimum Overbid Increments:** The initial Overbid, if any, shall provide for total consideration to the Debtors with a value that exceeds the value of the consideration under the Auction Baseline Bid by an incremental amount that is not less than the sum of (x) \$500,000 (the “Minimum Overbid Increment”) plus (y) in the event that the Debtors have entered into a Stalking Horse Agreement with respect to the Assets to which the Overbid relates, the aggregate amount of any Bid Protections under such Stalking Horse Agreement, and each successive Overbid shall exceed the then-existing Overbid by an incremental amount that is not less than the Minimum Overbid Increment. The Debtors reserve the right, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, to announce reductions or increases in the Minimum Overbid Increment at any time during the Auction. Additional consideration in excess of the amount set forth in the respective Auction Baseline Bid may include (i) cash and/or noncash consideration, *provided, however,* that the value for such non-cash consideration shall be determined by the Debtors in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, and (ii) in the case of a Bid by the Second Lien Parties, a credit bid of up to the full amount of the such secured creditors’ allowed secured claim, subject to the terms of the Intercreditor Agreement and the

Bankruptcy Code and subject to the rights of the Committee as set forth in paragraph 8 of the Bidding Procedures Order.

- (b) Remaining Terms Are the Same as for Qualified Bids: Except as modified herein, an Overbid at the Auction must comply with the conditions for a Qualified Bid set forth above, provided, however, that the Bid Deadline shall not apply. Any Overbid must include, in addition to the amount and the form of consideration of the Overbid, a description of all changes requested by the Bidder to the Purchase Agreement, Modified Purchase Agreement or Investment Agreement, as the case may be, in connection therewith. For the avoidance of doubt, any Overbid shall be irrevocable and shall remain open and binding on the Bidder in accordance with these Bidding Procedures and the conditions for a Qualified Bid.

At the Debtors' discretion, to the extent not previously provided (which shall be determined by the Debtors in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties), a Bidder submitting an Overbid at the Auction must submit, as part of its Overbid, written evidence (in the form of financial disclosure or credit-quality support information or enhancement reasonably acceptable to the Debtors), as the Debtors, in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, may request, demonstrating such Bidder's ability to consummate the Alternate Transaction proposed by such Overbid.

Announcement and Consideration of Overbids.

- (a) Announcement of Overbids: A Bidder submitting an Overbid at the Auction shall announce at the Auction the material terms of such Overbid, including the total amount and type of consideration offered in such Overbid.
- (b) Consideration of Overbids: The Debtors reserve the right, in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Consultation Parties, to make one or more continuances of the Auction to, among other things: facilitate discussions between the Debtors and individual Qualified Bidders; allow individual Qualified Bidders to consider how they wish to proceed; and give Qualified Bidders the opportunity to provide the Debtors with such additional evidence as the Debtors, in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, may require, that the Qualified Bidder has sufficient internal resources, or has received sufficient non-contingent debt and/or equity funding commitments, to consummate the proposed Alternate Transaction at the prevailing Overbid amount; *provided* that, to the extent the Debtors determine to request that any Qualified Bidder increase the amount of their Good Faith Deposit, the Debtors first shall consult with the Bid Consultation Parties before making such request.

Additional Procedures.

The Debtors (in consultation with the Consultation Parties), in the exercise of their fiduciary duties for the purpose of maximizing value for their estates from the sale process, may modify the Bidding Procedures and implement additional procedural rules for conducting the Auction. Specifically, among other things, the Debtors, in consultation with the Bid

Consultation Parties, may determine to select more than one Successful Bid and more than one Successful Bidder (and/or more than one Backup Bid and more than one Backup Bidder, in which event such Backup Bids may provide for groupings of Assets that are different from the groupings of Assets reflected in the Successful Bid(s)) for separate portions of the Assets.

Consent to Jurisdiction as Condition to Bidding.

All Qualified Bidders shall be deemed to have consented to the core jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court to enter an order or orders, which shall be binding in all respects, in any way related to the Debtors, their chapter 11 cases, the Bidding Procedures, the Purchase Agreement, the Auction, or the construction and enforcement of documents relating to any Alternate Transaction and waived any right to a jury trial in connection with any disputes relating to the Debtors, their chapter 11 cases, the Bidding Procedures, the Purchase Agreement, the Auction, or the construction and enforcement of documents relating to any Alternate Transaction.

Sale Is As Is/Where Is.

Any of the Assets sold pursuant to the Bidding Procedures shall be sold free and clear of all liens claims and encumbrances as permitted by Bankruptcy Code section 363(f) other than any Assumed Liabilities and conveyed at Closing in their then-present condition, **“AS IS, WHERE IS, WITH ALL FAULTS, AND WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED”**, except to the extent set forth in the definitive agreement for the Successful Bid, if applicable.

Closing the Auction; Successful Bidder.

The Auction shall continue until there is only one Qualified Bid for the Assets that the Debtors determine in their reasonable business judgment, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, is the highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid at the Auction. Thereafter, the Debtors shall select such Qualified Bid, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, as the overall highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid (such Bid, the **“Successful Bid,”** and the Bidder submitting such Successful Bid, the **“Successful Bidder”**). In making this decision, the Debtors shall consider the Bid Assessment Criteria.

The Auction shall close when the Successful Bidder(s) submits fully executed sale and transaction documents or a fully executed Investment Agreement, as applicable, memorializing the terms of the Successful Bid(s).

Promptly following the Debtors’ selection of the Successful Bid(s) and the conclusion of the Auction, the Debtors shall announce the Successful Bid(s) and Successful Bidder(s) and shall file with the Bankruptcy Court notice of the Successful Bid(s) and Successful Bidder(s).

The Debtors shall not consider any Bids submitted after the conclusion of the Auction. The Successful Bidder(s) shall be required to keep the Successful Bid(s) open and irrevocable until the closing of the transactions contemplated thereby.

Backup Bidder.

Notwithstanding anything in the Bidding Procedures to the contrary, the Qualified Bid for the Assets that the Debtors determine in their reasonable business judgment, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, is the next highest or otherwise best Qualified Bid at the Auction after the Successful Bid, will be designated as the “Backup Bid” and the Bidder submitting such Backup Bid, the “Backup Bidder.” The Backup Bidder shall be required to keep the Backup Bid open and irrevocable until the earlier of 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on the date that is eighty (80) days after the date of entry of the Sale Order (the “Outside Backup Date”) or the closing of the transaction with the Successful Bidder (defined herein).

Following entry of the Sale Order, if the Successful Bidder fails to consummate the Successful Bid, the Debtors may, in consultation with the Bid Consultation Parties, designate the Backup Bid to be the new Successful Bid and the Backup Bidder to be the new Successful Bidder, and the Debtors will be authorized, but not required, to consummate the transaction with the Backup Bidder without further order of the Bankruptcy Court. In such case of a breach or failure to perform on the part of the Successful Bidder, the defaulting Successful Bidder’s deposit shall be forfeited to the Debtors. The Debtors specifically reserve the right to seek all available damages, including specific performance, from any defaulting Successful Bidder (including any Backup Bidder designated as a Successful Bidder) in accordance with the terms of the Bidding Procedures.

Return of Good Faith Deposits

The Good Faith Deposits of all Qualified Bidders shall be held in one or more accounts by the Debtors, but shall not become property of the Debtors’ estates; *provided, however*, that the Good Faith Deposit of any Successful Bidder (including any Backup Bidder that becomes a Successful Bidder) may be forfeited to the Debtors or credited towards the purchase price set forth in the Successful Bid, in either case as provided in these Bidding Procedures. The Good Faith Deposit of any Qualified Bidder that is neither the Successful Bidder nor the Backup Bidder shall be returned to such Qualified Bidder not later than seven (7) days after the Sale Hearing. The Good Faith Deposit of the Backup Bidder, if any, shall be returned to the Backup Bidder not later than three (3) business days after (i) the closing of the transaction with the Successful Bidder (defined herein) for the Assets bid upon by the Backup Bidder and (ii) the Outside Backup Date; *provided, however*, that if the Back-up Bid becomes the Successful Bid as provided herein, any subsequent breach or failure to perform by the Back-up Bidder may result in the forfeit of the Good Faith Deposit of the Back-up Bidder to the Debtors.. Upon the return of the Good Faith Deposits, their respective owners shall receive any and all interest that may have accrued thereon. If the Successful Bidder consummates the Successful Bid, its Good Faith Deposit shall be credited towards the purchase price set forth in the Successful Bid.

The Consultation Parties

The Debtors shall consult with JP Morgan as agent under the Debtors’ first lien credit facility (the “First Lien Agent”), Credit Suisse as agent under the Debtors’ second lien term loan (the “Second Lien Agent”), the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, and the Committee (collectively, the “Consultation Parties” and each, a “Consultation Party”) as explicitly provided for in these Bidding Procedures; *provided, however*, that, in certain circumstances as set forth in

these Bidding Procedures, the Debtors shall consult only with the Bid Consultation Parties (as defined below). The “Bid Consultation Parties” shall be all Consultation Parties (and their advisors) other than any Consultation Party (and its advisors) that submits a Bid or has a Bid submitted on its behalf for so long as such Bid remains open. For the avoidance of doubt, the Debtors’ obligation to consult with the Consultation Parties may, in the Debtors’ discretion, be met by (i) consultation solely with the respective advisors to each of the Consultation Parties, as set forth in “Auction Qualification Process – Bid Deadline” above (collectively, the “Consultation Party Advisors”); or (ii) consultation with each of the Consultation Parties and their Consultation Party Advisors at the same time or in separate communications.

Reservation of Rights of the Debtors

Except as otherwise provided in the Purchase Agreement, these Bidding Procedures or the Bidding Procedures Order, the Debtors further reserve the right, in their reasonable business judgment in consultation with the Consultation Parties or, to the extent provided herein, the Bid Consultation Parties to: (i) determine which bidders are Qualified Bidders; (ii) determine which Bids are Qualified Bids; (iii) determine which Qualified Bid is the highest or otherwise best proposal and which is the next highest or otherwise best proposal; (iv) reject, at any time prior to the closing of the Auction or, if no Auction is held, at any time prior to entry of the Sale Order, any Bid that is (a) inadequate or insufficient, (b) not in conformity with the requirements of these Bidding Procedures, the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code or, if applicable, the Intercreditor Agreement, or (c) contrary to the best interests of the Debtors and their estates; (v) waive terms and conditions set forth herein with respect to all potential bidders; (vi) impose additional terms and conditions; (vii) extend the deadlines set forth herein; (viii) continue or cancel the Auction and/or Sale Hearing in open court, or by filing a notice on the docket of the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases, without further notice; (ix) include any other party as a Consultation Party and attendee at the Auction; and (x) modify the Bidding Procedures and implement additional procedural rules for conducting the Auction so long as such rules are not inconsistent in any material respect with the Bankruptcy Code, the Intercreditor Agreement, if applicable, the Bidding Procedures Order, or any other order of the Court.

Exhibit 1

Purchase Agreement

Exhibit 2

Confidentiality Agreement

Exhibit C

Sale Notice

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
Quicksilver Resources Inc., <u>et al.</u> , ¹)	Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	

**NOTICE OF POTENTIAL STALKING HORSE HEARING, BID DEADLINE,
AUCTION, AND SALE HEARING**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on March 17, 2015, the debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “Debtors”) filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, on September 17, 2015, the Debtors filed a motion (the “Motion”)² with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”) seeking, among other things, entry of an order (the “Bidding Procedures Order”): (i) approving proposed bidding procedures (the “Bidding Procedures”) by which the Debtors will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the “Assets”) through one or more sales of the Assets (each, a “Sale Transaction” or “Sale”); (ii) establishing procedures for the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases, including notice of proposed cure amounts (the “Assumption and Assignment Procedures”); (iii) approving the form and manner of notice with respect to certain procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described herein and attached hereto; (iv) scheduling (a) a hearing (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”), on expedited notice, to approve the Debtors’ selection of one or more stalking horse bidders (each a “Stalking Horse Bidder”), if any, and the provision of Bid Protections (as defined below) to any such Stalking Horse Bidder, if necessary; (b) an auction (the “Auction”) if the Debtors receive one or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids (as defined below); and (c) a final hearing (the “Sale Hearing”) to approve one or more Sales of the Assets; and (v) granting related relief.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, on October [__], 2015, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Bidding Procedures Order [D.I. __].

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. (“Quicksilver”) [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors’ address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Bidding Procedures Order, the Debtors are authorized to enter into one or more agreements (each, a “Stalking Horse Agreement”) with one or more Stalking Horse Bidders regarding a Sale Transaction. In the event the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, the Debtors will file and serve notice of the designation of the proposed Stalking Horse Bidders and the proposed Stalking Horse Agreements on the entities on the Rule 2002 Notice List, all parties expressing interest in the Assets, and all parties holding liens on the Assets **on no less than seven (7) days’ notice of the Stalking Horse Hearing.**

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in the event the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, the Debtors shall seek expedited approval of their entry into such agreement and any Bid Protections included therein together with the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder on no less than seven (7) days’ notice of the Stalking Horse Hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Bidding Procedures Order, if the Debtors receive two or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids for the same Assets, the Debtors will conduct the Auction on **December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time)** at the offices of co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 4100, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other place and time as the Debtors shall notify all Qualified Bidders and the Consultation Parties. Any party that wishes to take part in this process and submit a bid for the Assets must submit its Bid in accordance with the Bidding Procedures by **November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Bid Deadline”). Only the Debtors, the Consultation Parties, the Stalking Horse Bidder(s) (if any), any other Qualified Bidder and/or other party as the Debtors may determine to include in their discretion, in each case, along with their representatives and advisors, shall be entitled to attend the Auction (such attendance to be in person), and only Qualified Bidders will be entitled to make Overbids at the Auction. **All interested or potentially affected parties should carefully read the Bidding Procedures and the Bidding Procedures Order.**

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors have the right to adjourn or cancel the Auction at or prior to the Auction.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sale Hearing to consider approval of the sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidder(s) at the Auction, free and clear of all liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 363(f), will be held before the Honorable Laurie Selber Silverstein, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Delaware, 824 North Market Street, 6th Floor, Courtroom 2, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 on December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections to the Sales(s), if any, must be filed and served so as to be actually received by the Objection Recipients no later than **December 2, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time).**

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Objection Recipients are: (i) the Debtors, Quicksilver Resources Inc., 801 Cherry St., Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, TX 76102, Attn:

Vanessa Gomez LaGatta, CFO; (ii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq.; (iii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A., One Rodney Square, 920 North King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Paul N. Heath, Esq. and Amanda R. Steele, Esq.; (iv) co-counsel for the Committee, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, Attn: Andrew N. Rosenberg, Esq. and Elizabeth McColm, Esq.; (v) co-counsel for the Committee, Landis Rath & Cobb LLP, 919 Market Street, Suite 1800, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Richard S. Cobb, Esq. and Matthew McGuire, Esq.; (vi) counsel for the First Lien Agent, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attn: Steven M. Fuhrman, Esq.; (vii) counsel for the Second Lien Agent, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10022, Attn: Mitchell A. Seider, Esq. and David Hammerman, Esq.; (viii) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee, Emmet, Marvin & Martin LLP, 120 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10271, Attn: Edward P. Zujkowski, Esq. and Thomas Pitta, Esq.; (ix) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 28 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq. and Samuel A. Khalil, Esq.; (x) the U.S. Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Jane Leamy, Esq.; (xi) if applicable, counsel to any Stalking Horse Bidder(s); (xii) entities on the Rule 2002 Notice List; and (xiii) if known on the Sale Objection Deadline, any Successful Bidder(s).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT UNLESS AN OBJECTION IS TIMELY SERVED AND FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, IT MAY NOT BE CONSIDERED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT AND THE BANKRUPTCY COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN THE MOTION WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING AND NOTICE.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that this Notice of Potential Stalking Horse Hearing, Bid Deadline, Auction, and Sale Hearing is subject to the terms and conditions of the Motion and the Bidding Procedures Order, with such Bidding Procedures Order controlling in the event of any conflict, and the Debtors encourage parties in interest to review such documents in their entirety. Parties interested in receiving more information regarding the sale of the Assets and/or copies of any related document, including the Motion or the Bidding Procedures Order, may make a written request to: co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq. In addition, copies of the Motion, the Bidding Procedures Order and this Notice may be examined by interested parties (i) free of charge at the website established for these chapter 11 cases by the Debtors' Court approved claims agent, Garden City Group LLC, at www.gardencitygroup.com/cases/kwk, or (ii) on the Court's electronic docket for the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, which is posted on the Internet at www.deb.uscourts.gov (a PACER login and password are required and can be obtained through the PACER Service Center at www.pacer.psc.uscourts.gov).

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

Wilmington, Delaware
Date: October [___], 2015

/s/ _____

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

Paul N. Heath (DE 3704)
Amanda R. Steele (DE 5530)
Rachel L. Biblo (DE 6012)
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 651-7700
Facsimile: (302) 651-7701

– and –

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah Link Schultz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Travis A. McRoberts (DE 5274)
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: (214) 969-2800
Facsimile: (214) 969-4343

Ashleigh L. Blaylock (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Robert S. Strauss Building
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-1564
Telephone: (202) 887-4000
Facsimile: (202) 887-4288

**COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN
POSSESSION**

Exhibit D

Publication Notice

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
Quicksilver Resources Inc., <u>et al.</u> , ¹)	Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	

**NOTICE OF POTENTIAL STALKING HORSE HEARING, BID DEADLINE,
AUCTION AND SALE HEARING**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on March 17, 2015, the debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “Debtors”) filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, on September 17, 2015, the Debtors filed a motion (the “Motion”)² with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”) seeking, among other things, entry of an order (the “Bidding Procedures Order”): (i) approving proposed bidding procedures (the “Bidding Procedures”) by which the Debtors will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the “Assets”) through one or more sales of the Assets (each, a “Sale Transaction” or “Sale”); (ii) establishing procedures for the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases, including notice of proposed cure amounts (the “Assumption and Assignment Procedures”); (iii) approving the form and manner of notice with respect to certain procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described herein and attached hereto; (iv) scheduling (a) a hearing (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”), on expedited notice, to approve the Debtors’ selection of one or more stalking horse bidders (each a “Stalking Horse Bidder”), if any, and the provision of Bid Protections (as defined below) to any such Stalking Horse Bidder, if necessary; (b) an auction (the “Auction”) if the Debtors receive one or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids (as defined below); and (c) a final hearing (the “Sale Hearing”) to approve one or more Sales of the Assets; and (v) granting related relief. On October [__], 2015, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Bidding Procedures Order [D.I. __].

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. (“Quicksilver”) [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors’ address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

1) STALKING HORSE AGREEMENT AND HEARING

Pursuant to the Bidding Procedures Order, the Debtors are authorized to enter into one or more agreements (each, a “Stalking Horse Agreement”) with one or more Stalking Horse Bidders regarding the Sale Transaction. In the event the Debtors enter into a Stalking Horse Agreement, the Debtors will, **on no less than seven (7) days’ notice of the Stalking Horse Hearing**, (i) file and serve notice of the designation of the proposed Stalking Horse Bidders and the proposed Stalking Horse Agreements on the entities on the Rule 2002 Notice List, all parties expressing interest in the Assets, and all parties holding liens on the Assets, and (ii) seek expedited approval at the Stalking Horse Hearing of their entry into such agreement and any Bid Protections included therein together with the terms and conditions under which such Bid Protections would be payable to the Stalking Horse Bidder.

2) AUCTION

Pursuant to the Bidding Procedures Order, if the Debtors receive two or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids for the same Assets, the Debtors will conduct the Auction on **December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time)** at the offices of co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 4100, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other place and time as the Debtors shall notify all Qualified Bidders and the Consultation Parties. Any party that wishes to take part in this process and submit a bid for the Assets must submit its Bid by **November 30, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Bid Deadline”) and in accordance with the Bidding Procedures. Only the Debtors, the Consultation Parties, the Stalking Horse Bidder(s) (if any), any other Qualified Bidder and/or other party as the Debtors may determine to include in their discretion, in each case, along with their representatives and advisors, shall be entitled to attend the Auction (such attendance to be in person) and only Qualified Bidders will be entitled to make any Overbids at the Auction. The Debtors have the right to adjourn or cancel the Auction at or prior to the Auction. **All interested or potentially affected parties should carefully read the Bidding Procedures and the Bidding Procedures Order.**

3) SALE HEARING

The Sale Hearing to consider approval of the sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidder(s) at the Auction, free and clear of all liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 363(f), will be held before the Honorable Laurie Selber Silverstein, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Delaware, 824 North Market Street, 6th Floor, Courtroom 2, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 on December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time). Objections to the Sales(s), if any, must be filed and served so as to be actually received by the Objection Recipients no later than **December 2, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)**. The Objection Recipients are: (i) the Debtors, Quicksilver Resources Inc., 801 Cherry St., Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, TX 76102, Attn: Vanessa Gomez LaGatta, CFO; (ii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq.; (iii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A., One Rodney Square, 920 North King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Paul N. Heath, Esq. and Amanda R. Steele, Esq.; (iv) co-counsel for the Committee, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind,

Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, Attn: Andrew N. Rosenberg, Esq. and Elizabeth McColm, Esq.; (v) co-counsel for the Committee, Landis Rath & Cobb LLP, 919 Market Street, Suite 1800, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Richard S. Cobb, Esq. and Matthew McGuire, Esq.; (vi) counsel for the First Lien Agent, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attn: Steven M. Fuhrman, Esq.; (vii) counsel for the Second Lien Agent, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10022, Attn: Mitchell A. Seider, Esq. and David Hammerman, Esq.; (viii) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee, Emmet, Marvin & Martin LLP, 120 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10271, Attn: Edward P. Zujkowski, Esq. and Thomas Pitta, Esq.; (ix) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 28 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq. and Samuel A. Khalil, Esq.; (x) the U.S. Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Jane Leamy, Esq.; (xi) if applicable, counsel to any Stalking Horse Bidder(s) or Successful Bidder(s) if known on the Sale Objection Deadline; (xii) entities on the Rule 2002 Notice List.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT UNLESS AN OBJECTION IS TIMELY SERVED AND FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, IT MAY NOT BE CONSIDERED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT AND THE BANKRUPTCY COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN THE MOTION WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING AND NOTICE.

4) COPIES OF THE MOTION AND BIDDING PROCEDURES ORDER

This Notice of Potential Stalking Horse Hearing, Bid Deadline, Auction and Sale Hearing is subject to the terms and conditions of the Motion and the Bidding Procedures Order, with such Bidding Procedures Order controlling in the event of any conflict, and the Debtors encourage parties in interest to review such documents in their entirety. Parties interested in receiving more information regarding the sale of the Assets and/or copies of any related document, including the Motion or the Bidding Procedures Order, may make a written request to: co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq. In addition, copies of the Motion, the Bidding Procedures Order and this Notice may be examined by interested parties (i) free of charge at the website established for these chapter 11 cases by the Debtors' Court approved claims agent, Garden City Group LLC, at www.gardencitygroup.com/cases/kwk, or (ii) on the Court's electronic docket for the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, which is posted on the Internet at www.deb.uscourts.gov (a PACER login and password are required and can be obtained through the PACER Service Center at www.pacer.psc.uscourts.gov).

Exhibit E

Post-Auction Notice

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
Quicksilver Resources Inc., <u>et al.</u> , ¹)	Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	

**NOTICE OF SUCCESSFUL AND BACKUP BIDDERS WITH RESPECT TO THE
AUCTIONS OF THE DEBTORS' ASSETS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on October [__], 2015, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”) entered an order [D.I. __] (the “Bidding Procedures Order”): (i) approving proposed bidding procedures (the “Bidding Procedures”) by which the Debtors will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the “Assets”) through one or more sales of the Assets (each, a “Sale Transaction” or “Sale”); (ii) establishing procedures for the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases, including notice of proposed cure amounts (the “Assumption and Assignment Procedures”); (iii) approving the form and manner of notice with respect to certain procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described herein and attached hereto; (iv) scheduling (a) a hearing (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”), on expedited notice, to approve the Debtors’ selection of one or more stalking horse bidders (each a “Stalking Horse Bidder”), if any, and the provision of Bid Protections (as defined below) to such Stalking Horse Bidder, if necessary; (b) an auction (the “Auction”) if the Debtors receive one or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids (as defined below); and (c) a final hearing (the “Sale Hearing”) to approve one or more Sales of the Assets; and (v) granting related relief.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, on December 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Central Time), pursuant to the Bidding Procedures Order, the Debtors conducted the Auction(s) with respect to certain of the Assets.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, at the conclusion of the Auction, the Debtors, in consultation with their professionals and the Bid Consultation Parties, selected the following Successful Bidder(s) and Back-Up Bidder(s) with respect to the Assets:

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. (“Quicksilver”) [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors’ address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

Asset(s)	Successful Bidder	Back-Up Bidder

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sale Hearing to consider approval of the sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidder(s) at the Auction, free and clear of all liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 363(f), will be held before the Honorable Laurie Selber Silverstein, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Delaware, 824 North Market Street, 6th Floor, Courtroom 2, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 on December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time). The Sale Hearing may be adjourned or rescheduled without notice.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, at the Sale Hearing, the Debtors will seek Bankruptcy Court approval of the Successful Bid and the Back-Up Bid (if any). Unless the Bankruptcy Court orders otherwise, the Sale Hearing shall be an evidentiary hearing on matters relating to the Sale Transaction and there will be no further bidding at the Sale Hearing. In the event that the Successful Bidder cannot or refuses to consummate the Sale because of the breach or failure on the part of the Successful Bidder, the Back-Up Bidder will be deemed the new Successful Bidder and the Debtors shall be authorized, but not required, to close with the Back-Up Bidder on the Back-Up Bid without further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that this Notice of Successful and Backup Bidders is subject to the terms and conditions of the Motion and the Bidding Procedures Order, with such Bidding Procedures Order controlling in the event of any conflict, and the Debtors encourage parties in interest to review such documents in their entirety. Parties interested in receiving more information regarding the sale of the Assets and/or copies of any related document, including the Motion or the Bidding Procedures Order, may make a written request to: co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq. In addition, copies of the Motion, the Bidding Procedures Order and this Notice may be examined by interested parties (i) free of charge at the website established for these chapter 11 cases by the Debtors' Court approved claims agent, Garden City Group LLC, at www.gardencitygroup.com/cases/kwk, or (ii) on the Court's electronic docket for the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, which is posted on the Internet at www.deb.uscourts.gov (a PACER login and password are required and can be obtained through the PACER Service Center at www.pacer.psc.uscourts.gov).

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

Wilmington, Delaware
Date: December [___], 2015

/s/ _____

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

Paul N. Heath (DE 3704)
Amanda R. Steele (DE 5530)
Rachel L. Biblo (DE 6012)
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 651-7700
Facsimile: (302) 651-7701

– and –

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah Link Schultz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Travis A. McRoberts (DE 5274)
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: (214) 969-2800
Facsimile: (214) 969-4343

Ashleigh L. Blaylock (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Robert S. Strauss Building
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-1564
Telephone: (202) 887-4000
Facsimile: (202) 887-4288

**COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN
POSSESSION**

Exhibit F

Assumption and Assignment Notice

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
Quicksilver Resources Inc., <u>et al.</u> , ¹)	
)	Case No. 15-10585 (LSS)
Debtors.)	
)	Jointly Administered

**NOTICE OF EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES
THAT MAY BE ASSUMED AND ASSIGNED IN CONNECTION
WITH THE SALE OF THE DEBTORS'
ASSETS AND THE PROPOSED CURE COST WITH RESPECT THERETO**

YOU ARE RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES THAT MAY BE ASSUMED AND ASSIGNED IN CONNECTION WITH THE SALE OF THE DEBTORS' ASSETS AND THE PROPOSED CURE AMOUNT WITH RESPECT THERETO (THE "NOTICE OF ASSUMPTION AND ASSIGNMENT") BECAUSE YOU MAY BE A COUNTERPARTY TO AN EXECUTORY CONTRACT OR UNEXPIRED LEASE WITH QUICKSILVER OR ONE OR MORE OF ITS AFFILIATED DEBTORS (COLLECTIVELY, THE "DEBTORS"). PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AS YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY THE TRANSACTIONS DESCRIBED HEREIN.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 17, 2015, the Debtors filed a motion (the "Motion")² with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court") seeking, among other things, entry of an order (the "Bidding Procedures Order"): (i) approving proposed bidding procedures (the "Bidding Procedures") by which the Debtors will solicit and select the highest or otherwise best offer for the sale of substantially all or a portion of their assets (the "Assets") through one or more sales of the Assets (each, a "Sale Transaction" or "Sale"); (ii) establishing procedures for the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases, including notice of proposed cure amounts (the "Assumption and Assignment Procedures"); (iii) approving the form and manner of notice with respect to certain procedures, protections, schedules, and agreements described herein and

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Quicksilver Resources Inc. ("Quicksilver") [6163]; Barnett Shale Operating LLC [0257]; Cowtown Drilling, Inc. [8899]; Cowtown Gas Processing L.P. [1404]; Cowtown Pipeline Funding, Inc. [9774]; Cowtown Pipeline L.P. [9769]; Cowtown Pipeline Management, Inc. [9771]; Makarios Resources International Holdings LLC [1765]; Makarios Resources International Inc. [7612]; QPP Holdings LLC [0057]; QPP Parent LLC [8748]; Quicksilver Production Partners GP LLC [2701]; Quicksilver Production Partners LP [9129]; and Silver Stream Pipeline Company LLC [9384]. The Debtors' address is 801 Cherry Street, Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

attached hereto; (iv) scheduling (a) a hearing (the “Stalking Horse Hearing”), on expedited notice, to approve the Debtors’ selection of one or more stalking horse bidders (each a “Stalking Horse Bidder”), if any, and the provision of Bid Protections (as defined below) to any such Stalking Horse Bidder, if necessary; (b) an auction (the “Auction”) if the Debtors receive one or more timely and acceptable Qualified Bids (as defined below); and (c) a final hearing (the “Sale Hearing”) to approve one or more Sales of the Assets; and (v) granting related relief.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October [___], 2015, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Bidding Procedures Order [D.I. ___].

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Bidding Procedures Order, among other things, established procedures for the assumption and assignment of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases that the Debtors believe they might seek to assume and assign in connection with a Sale Transaction (collectively, the “Designated Contracts”) to a purchaser and the determination of related Cure Costs (as defined below). The Debtors are parties to numerous Designated Contracts and, in accordance with the Bidding Procedures Order, hereby file this notice identifying (i) the Designated Contracts, which may be assumed and assigned to a Stalking Horse Bidder, if any, or such other Successful Bidder in connection with the Sale Transaction(s) and (ii) the proposed amounts, if any, the Debtors believe are owed to the counterparty to the Designated Contract to cure any defaults or arrears existing under the Designated Contract (the “Cure Costs”), both as set forth on **Exhibit 1** attached hereto. Other than the Cure Costs listed on Exhibit 1, the Debtors are not aware of any amounts due and owing under the Designated Contracts listed therein.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the hearing to approve the sale of the Assets (the “Sale Hearing”) will be held before the Honorable Laurie Selber Silverstein, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Delaware, 824 North Market Street, 6th Floor, Courtroom 2, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 on **December 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)**.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the listing of a Designated Contract on Exhibit 1 does not constitute an admission that the Designated Contract is an executory contract or unexpired lease as contemplated by Bankruptcy Code section 365(a) or that the Debtors have any liability thereunder, and the Debtors expressly reserve all of their rights, claims, causes of action, and defenses with respect to the Designated Contracts listed on **Exhibit 1**.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a counterparty to a Designated Contract listed on this Notice of Assumption and Assignment may file an objection (a “Designated Contract Objection”) only if such objection is to the proposed assumption and assignment of the applicable Designated Contract or the proposed Cure Costs, if any. All Designated Contract Objections must (1) state, with specificity, the legal and factual basis for the objection as well as what Cure Costs are required, if any, (2) include appropriate documentation in support thereof, and (3) be filed and served on the Objection Recipients no later than **4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) fourteen (14) days following the Assumption and Assignment Service Date** (the “Assignment and Assumption Objection Deadline”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Objection Recipients are: (i) the Debtors, Quicksilver Resources Inc., 801 Cherry St., Suite 3700, Unit 19, Fort Worth, TX 76102, Attn:

Vanessa Gomez LaGatta, CFO; (ii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, TX 75201, Attn: Sarah Link Schultz, Esq. and Ashleigh L. Blaylock, Esq.; (iii) co-counsel for the Debtors, Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A., One Rodney Square, 920 North King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Paul N. Heath, Esq. and Amanda R. Steele, Esq.; (iv) co-counsel for the Committee, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019, Attn: Andrew N. Rosenberg, Esq. and Elizabeth McColm, Esq.; (v) co-counsel for the Committee, Landis Rath & Cobb LLP, 919 Market Street, Suite 1800, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Richard S. Cobb, Esq. and Matthew McGuire, Esq.; (vi) counsel for the First Lien Agent, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attn: Steven M. Fuhrman, Esq.; (vii) counsel for the Second Lien Agent, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10022, Attn: Mitchell A. Seider, Esq. and David Hammerman, Esq.; (viii) counsel for the Second Lien Indenture Trustee, Emmet, Marvin & Martin LLP, 120 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10271, Attn: Edward P. Zujkowski, Esq. and Thomas Pitta, Esq.; (ix) counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of Second Lienholders, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 28 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq. and Samuel A. Khalil, Esq.; (x) the U.S. Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Jane Leamy, Esq.; (xi) if applicable, counsel to any Stalking Horse Bidder(s); (xii) entities on the Rule 2002 Notice List.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT IF A COUNTERPARTY TO A DESIGNATED CONTRACT FILES A DESIGNATED CONTRACT OBJECTION IN A MANNER THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH ABOVE, AND THE PARTIES ARE UNABLE TO CONSENSUALLY RESOLVE THE DISPUTE PRIOR TO THE SALE HEARING, THE AMOUNT TO BE PAID OR RESERVED WITH RESPECT TO SUCH OBJECTION WILL BE DETERMINED AT THE SALE HEARING, SUCH LATER HEARING DATE THAT THE DEBTORS DETERMINE IN THEIR DISCRETION, OR SUCH OTHER DATE DETERMINED BY THIS COURT. ALL OTHER OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED ASSUMPTION AND ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEBTORS' RIGHT, TITLE, AND INTEREST IN, TO, AND UNDER THE DESIGNATED CONTRACTS WILL BE HEARD AT THE SALE HEARING.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if the counterparty to a Designated Contract does not timely file and serve a Designated Contract Objection that is consistent with the requirements set forth above by the Assignment and Assumption Objection Deadline, such counterparty will be deemed to have consented to the assumption and assignment of the Designated Contract to a Successful Bidder, notwithstanding any anti-alienation provision or other restriction on assumption or assignment in the Designated Contract, and shall be forever barred from asserting any objection with regard to such assumption and assignment and/or Cure Costs set forth in Exhibit 1, except with respect to the adequate assurance of future performance by the Successful Bidder(s). Any objections to any Stalking Horse Bidder or Successful Bidder's proposed form of adequate assurance of future performance must be raised at the Sale Hearing or Supplemental Designated Contract Hearing, as applicable, and will be resolved at the hearing at which it is raised or, in the Debtors' discretion, adjourned to a later hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that although the Debtors have made a good faith effort to identify all Designated Contracts that might be assumed and assigned in connection with a Sale Transaction, the Debtors may discover certain contracts inadvertently omitted from the Designated Contracts list or Successful Bidder(s) may identify other executory contracts or unexpired leases that they desire to assume and assign in connection with the Sale. Accordingly, the Debtors have reserved the right, at any time after the Assumption and Assignment Service Date and before the closing of a Sale Transaction, to (i) supplement the list of Designated Contracts on this Notice of Assumption and Assignment with previously omitted Designated Contracts in accordance with the definitive agreement for a Sale Transaction, (ii) remove a Designated Contract from the list of contracts ultimately selected as a Designated Contract that a Successful Bidder proposes be assumed and assigned to it in connection with a Sale Transaction, and/or (iii) modify the previously stated Cure Cost associated with any Designated Contract.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in the event that the Debtors exercise any of the rights reserved above, the Debtors will promptly serve a supplemental notice of assumption and assignment (a “Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment”) by electronic transmission, hand delivery, or overnight mail on the counterparty (and its attorney, if known) to each impacted Designated Contract at the last known address available to the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that this Notice of Assumption and Assignment is subject to the terms and conditions of the Motion and the Bidding Procedures Order, with such Bidding Procedures Order controlling in the event of any conflict, and the Debtors encourage parties-in-interest to review such documents in their entirety. Parties with questions regarding the Assumption and Assignment Procedures contained herein should contact the Debtors’ counsel at the contact information provided below.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the inclusion of a Designated Contract on this Notice of Assumption and Assignment (or Supplemental Notice of Assumption and Assignment) will not obligate the Debtors to assume any Designated Contract listed thereon or the Successful Bidder(s) to take assignment of such Designated Contract. Only those Designated Contracts that are included on a schedule of assumed and assigned executory contracts and unexpired leases attached to the final Purchase Agreement with the Successful Bidder(s) (each, an “Acquired Contract”) will be assumed and assigned to the Successful Bidder(s).

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

Wilmington, Delaware
Date: November [___], 2015

/s/ _____

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

Paul N. Heath (DE 3704)
Amanda R. Steele (DE 5530)
Rachel L. Biblo (DE 6012)
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 651-7700
Facsimile: (302) 651-7701

– and –

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah Link Schultz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Travis A. McRoberts (DE 5274)
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: (214) 969-2800
Facsimile: (214) 969-4343

Ashleigh L. Blaylock (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Robert S. Strauss Building
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-1564
Telephone: (202) 887-4000
Facsimile: (202) 887-4288

**COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN
POSSESSION**